



San Diego County Air Pollution Control District

WORKSHOP AND PUBLIC HEARING TO DISCUSS PROPOSED FEE INCREASES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

Federal and state law allows the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District) to adopt fees to recover the costs for services provided to administer mandated air pollution control programs. The District's fees, both those charged on an hourly basis and fixed (flat) fees, are specified in Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees), which includes fees for services related to air quality permit applications, permit renewals, emission measurements ("source tests"), and asbestos notifications. District rules, including Rule 40, can be accessed on the District's Rules website at <https://bit.ly/SDAPCDRules>.

On May 21, 2021, the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District Governing Board (Governing Board) adopted a cost recovery plan (<https://bit.ly/40nM4Ut>). The District is proposing fee amendments to implement the cost recovery plan for Fiscal Year 2024-25. Proposed FY 24-25 amendments to Rule 40 include fee increases of up to 15% for services related to air quality permit applications, permit renewals, source tests, and asbestos notifications. The District is also proposing to convert some fixed (flat) application fees to time & material and to consolidate and eliminate some fee codes for specific categories. Proposed FY 24-25 amendments to Rule 40, if adopted, would take effect on July 1, 2024. The District will also be reviewing concepts for possible new fees to recover costs for mandated emission inventory services for consideration as part of future revisions to Rule 40.

PUBLIC WORKSHOP

The District invites you to participate in a virtual public workshop to provide input regarding proposed amendments to Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees). Comments and questions concerning proposed amendments under consideration may be submitted in writing before or during the public workshop, which is scheduled for **Wednesday, December 6, 2023, from 2:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.** Written comments are welcome and should be emailed to APCDFiscal@sdapcd.org. Please visit the District's Rule Development website at <https://bit.ly/3lkUCYJ> for workshop information and details on how to participate.

PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE, pursuant to the State of California Health and Safety Code Section 42311, is hereby given of a public hearing on **Thursday, January 11, 2024, at 2:00 p.m.** before the District Governing Board, for the purpose of receiving public comments on proposed amendments, including increased fees, to District Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees). Those wishing to participate in the public hearing and/or provide comments on the proposed amendments to Rule 40 should visit the District's Governing Board website at <https://bit.ly/3F8NXsQ>. A Governing Board hearing to consider the adoption of proposed amendments to Rule 40 will be held no sooner than 30 days after the January 11, 2024, public hearing.

The Governing Board may consider modifications to the proposed amendments, which may be deemed appropriate. Written comments are welcome and must be received by January 10, 2024. Comments should be addressed to Stephanie Nicholas, Chief, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 10124 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131.

Copies of supporting documentation will be made available no later than 10 days prior to the scheduled public hearing and may be examined or obtained at the District's headquarters, 10124 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131, or by visiting the District's Rule Development website at <https://bit.ly/3lkUCYJ>. Specific questions or information with respect to this matter may be obtained by contacting Stephanie Nicholas at APCDFiscal@sdapcd.org.

****Assistance for Persons with Disabilities & Language Interpreter Requests**

Information will be available in alternative formats upon request. To the extent reasonably possible, requests for disability-related accommodation including sign language interpretation and language interpreter services should be submitted at least 72 hours in advance of the workshop so that arrangements may be made. Contact Janet McCue at (858) 586-2712 or janet.mccue@sdapcd.org with questions or to make requests.

11/21/2023

10124 Old Grove Rd. San Diego California 92131-1649
(858) 586-2600 Fax (858) 586-2601
www.sdapcd.org



San Diego County Air Pollution Control District

TALLER Y AUDIENCIA PÚBLICA PARA DEBATIR AUMENTOS DE TASAS PROPUESTOS PARA EL AÑO FISCAL 2024-25

Las leyes federales y estatales permiten al Distrito de Control de la Contaminación Atmosférica del Condado de San Diego (Distrito) adoptar tasas para recuperar los costes de los servicios prestados para administrar los programas obligatorios de control de la contaminación atmosférica. Las tasas del Distrito, tanto las que se cobran por horas como las fijas ("tarifas planas"), se especifican en la Norma 40 (Tasas por permisos y otras tasas), que incluye las tasas por servicios relacionados con solicitudes de permisos de calidad del aire, renovaciones de permisos, mediciones de emisiones ("fuente de las pruebas") y notificaciones de amianto. Las normas del Distrito, incluida la Norma 40, pueden consultarse en la página web de las Normas del Distrito en: <https://bit.ly/SDAPCDRules>.

El 21 de mayo de 2021, la Junta de Gobierno del Distrito de Control de la Contaminación Atmosférica del Condado de San Diego (Junta de Gobierno) adoptó un plan de recuperación de los costos (<https://bit.ly/40nM4Ut>). El Distrito propone modificaciones de las tasas para aplicar el plan de recuperación de costos para el año fiscal 2024-25. Las modificaciones de la Norma 40 propuestas para los años fiscales 24-25 incluyen aumentos de las tasas de hasta el 15% para los servicios relacionados con las solicitudes de permisos de calidad del aire, las renovaciones de permisos, las pruebas en la fuente y las notificaciones de amianto. El Distrito también propone convertir algunas tasas de solicitud fijas (planas) en tasas por tiempo y material y consolidar y eliminar algunos códigos de tasas para categorías específicas. Si se aprueban, las modificaciones de la Norma 40 propuestas para los años fiscales 24-25 entrarán en vigor el 1 de julio de 2024. El Distrito también examinará los conceptos de posibles nuevas tasas para recuperar los costos de los servicios obligatorios de inventario de emisiones para su consideración como parte de futuras revisiones de la Norma 40.

TALLER PÚBLICO

El Distrito le invita a participar en un taller público virtual para dar su opinión sobre las enmiendas propuestas a la Norma 40 (Tasas por permisos y otros servicios). Las observaciones y preguntas relativas a las enmiendas propuestas pueden presentarse por escrito antes o durante el taller público, que está previsto para el **Miércoles, 6 de diciembre de 2023, desde las 2:00 pm hasta las 03:30 pm**. Los comentarios por escrito son bienvenidos y deben enviarse por correo electrónico a APCDFiscal@sdapcd.org. Visite el sitio web de desarrollo de normas del Distrito en <https://bit.ly/3IkUCYJ> para obtener información sobre el taller y detalles sobre cómo participar.

AUDIENCIA PÚBLICA

POR LA PRESENTE SE NOTIFICA, de conformidad con la Sección 42311 del Código de Salud y Seguridad del Estado de California, la celebración de una audiencia pública el **Jueves, 11 de enero de 2024, a las 2:00 pm**, ante la Junta de Gobierno del Distrito, con el fin de recibir comentarios públicos sobre las enmiendas propuestas, incluido el aumento de las tasas, a la Norma 40 del Distrito (Tasas de Permiso y Otras Tasas). Las personas que deseen participar en la audiencia pública y/o formular observaciones sobre las enmiendas propuestas a la Norma 40 deben visitar el sitio web de la Junta de Gobierno del Distrito en la siguiente dirección <https://bit.ly/3F8NXsQ>. Se celebrará una audiencia del Consejo de Administración para considerar la adopción de las enmiendas propuestas al artículo 40 en un plazo no superior a 30 días después de la audiencia pública del 11 de enero de 2024.

El Consejo de Administración podrá estudiar las modificaciones de las enmiendas propuestas que considere oportunas. Los comentarios por escrito son bienvenidos y deben recibirse antes del 10 de enero de 2024. Los comentarios deben dirigirse a Stephanie Nicholas, directora del Distrito de Control de la Contaminación Atmosférica del Condado de San Diego, 10124 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131.

Las copias de la documentación de apoyo estarán disponibles a más tardar 10 días antes de la audiencia pública programada y podrán examinarse u obtenerse en la sede del Distrito, 10124 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131, o visitando el sitio web de desarrollo de normas del Distrito en <https://bit.ly/3IkUCYJ>. Para cualquier pregunta o información específica sobre este tema, póngase en contacto con Stephanie Nicholas en la siguiente dirección APCDFiscal@sdapcd.org.

****Asistencia a personas con discapacidad y solicitudes de intérpretes de idiomas**

La información estará disponible en formatos alternativos previa solicitud. En la medida de lo razonablemente posible, las solicitudes de adaptaciones relacionadas con discapacidades, incluidos los servicios de interpretación en lenguaje de señas y de intérpretes de idiomas, deberán presentarse al menos 72 horas antes de la celebración del taller, a fin de que puedan adoptarse las disposiciones oportunas. Póngase en contacto con Janet McCue llamando al (858) 586-2712 o por correo electrónico janet.mccue@sdapcd.org si tiene preguntas o desea hacer alguna solicitud.

21/11/2023

10124 Old Grove Rd. San Diego California 92131-1649
(858) 586-2600 Fax (858) 586-2601
www.sdapcd.org



Air Pollution Control District Governing Board

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District

AGENDA ITEM #E.3

DATE: January 11, 2024

TO: SAN DIEGO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

SUBJECT:

PUBLIC HEARING: RECEIVE PRESENTATION FOR PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULE 40 - PERMIT AND OTHER FEES (FISCAL YEAR 2024-25)

REQUESTED ACTION:

1. Open a public hearing on the proposed amendments to Rule 40 – Permit & Other Fees; receive a presentation from staff and receive and consider public comments.
2. Direct the Air Pollution Control Officer to return to the Governing Board on March 14, 2024, to consider adoption of proposed amendments to Rule 40 – Permit & Other Fees to become effective on July 1, 2024.

OVERVIEW:

The mission of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District) is to improve air quality to protect public health and the environment. Accordingly, the District operates a county-wide permitting program for stationary (fixed) sources of air pollution pursuant to federal and State law. Stationary sources encompass large industrial facilities including power plants and landfills and smaller commercial establishments such as gas stations and dry cleaners. A facility's permit outlines the required actions to comply with air pollution control requirements and protect air quality, the environment, and public health. District Rule 40 sets the fees for District permitting and other services, such as inspections and source testing, related to the implementation of the stationary source permitting, source testing, and asbestos programs.

District staff worked with Matrix Consulting Group (Consultant) to update the Cost Recovery Study analysis from last year based upon new inputs associated with staffing, costs, and workload, as well as any changes in fee structures. The Consultant recommended, and District staff proposes implementation of a Fiscal Year 2024-25 cost recovery scenario detailed in the FY 2024-25 Cost Recovery Analysis Report – December 2023 (Attachment A). This recommendation is consistent with the Governing Board's December 8, 2022, direction on fee increases that was adopted on January 12, 2023, and became effective on July 1, 2023. Proposed Fiscal Year 2024-25 amendments to Rule 40 include: consolidating four initial evaluation and renewal fees for engines into two fees; clarifying language related to online fee estimates; eliminating three outdated fees that were site specific;

converting seven (7) fixed (flat) application fees to time & material; converting one (1) time & material fee for surface coating to a fixed fee; and updating various fees consistent with the recommendations from the Consultant, as detailed in Attachment B and summarized in the following table:

FEE CATEGORY	PERMIT APPLICATION FIXED	PERMIT RENEWAL	SOURCE TESTING	ASBESTOS NOTIFICATIONS	HEARING BOARD	TIME & MATERIAL	PROCESSING FEE
PROPOSED % FEE INCREASE	6% - 15%	8% - 15%	2% - 15%	(6%) - 10%	0%	0% - 15%	10% - 15%

There are no revisions proposed to Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees at this time. Increasing these fees at the previously adopted rate may result in the Hearing Board fees becoming cost prohibitive, without having a significant revenue impact upon the District since these fees comprise a very small amount of the revenue and costs for the District.

Today’s hearing is to receive a presentation and consider public comments on the proposed amendments to Rule 40. At the conclusion of the comment process, the Board may instruct staff concerning any amendments to the proposed rules that the Board concludes would be appropriate. A second public hearing is scheduled for March 14, 2024, to consider adoption of the proposed rule amendments. If adopted, the proposed amendments will become effective on July 1, 2024.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact associated with today’s requested action to receive a presentation and consider public comments on the proposed amendments to Rule 40. If adopted on March 14, 2024, these proposed amendments will result in projected additional estimated revenues of up to \$1.2 million per fiscal year, which would increase the District’s estimated aggregate fee-for-service cost recovery percentage for its stationary source permitting, source testing, asbestos, and Hearing Board programs from the current 84% to approximately 93% and would reduce annual program related estimated revenue deficits from \$1.9 million to approximately \$800,000. Projected costs and estimated revenues are based on current year adopted budget and most recent workload information and will fluctuate year to year due to shifts in staffing levels, program costs, level of effort and other factors.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT:

There is no environmental impact associated with today’s requested action to receive a presentation and consider public comments on the proposed amendments to Rule 40. If adopted on March 14, 2024, the proposed amendments to Rule 40 will be exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15273, which exempts projects that involve the establishment or modification of charges by public agencies for the purpose of meeting operating expenses, purchasing supplies and equipment, or meeting financial reserve needs, as described in the FY 2024-25 Cost Recovery Analysis Report – December 2023 (Attachment A).

PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOARD ACTIONS:

January 12, 2023 (Item #E.3), Adoption of Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees (Fiscal Year 2023-24); December 8, 2022 (Item #E.1), Public Hearing: Receive Presentation for Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees (Fiscal Year 2023-24); April 14, 2022 (Item #E.1.), Adoption of Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees and Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees (Fiscal Year 2022-23); March 10, 2022 (Item #E.1.), Public Hearing: Receive Presentation for Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees and Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees (Fiscal Year 2022-23); December 9, 2021 (Item #D.3), Adoption of Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 - Permit and Other Fees and Rule 42 - Hearing Board Fees; October 14, 2021 (Item #3), Public Hearing: Receive Presentation for Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees and Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees; May 21, 2021 (Item #1), Direct the Interim Air Pollution Control Officer to Implement Cost Recovery Scenario 6 and Schedule a Regulatory Process Consistent with Timeline B.

PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND OUTREACH:

On November 21, 2023, a public notice regarding today’s hearing was sent to approximately 15,000 recipients (including each air quality permit holder and chamber of commerce in the region, subscribers to the District’s email notification service and the California Air Resources Board) and posted to the District’s website providing an opportunity to submit written comments. On December 4, 2023, a reminder public notice was sent to the subscribers of the District’s email notification system.

Included in both notices was an invitation to participate in a virtual public workshop on December 6, 2023, to provide input regarding proposed amendments to Rule 40. During this workshop, the District provided an overview of the draft Rule 40 changes, the cost recovery analysis methodology, and next steps to 19 attendees. Input and feedback from workshop attendees was solicited and encouraged to continue until the March hearing for adoption. Spanish interpretation services were provided during the workshop which was recorded and posted to the District’s website.

EQUITY IMPACT STATEMENT

Today’s hearing and recommended actions, promote public engagement and transparency; and will help to fund the District’s commitments to advancing policies, programs, and services that achieve environmental justice and equity. Fees for the District recover costs for permitting, and other programs and services, and support the District’s vision of “Clean Air for All”.

RECOMMENDED BY:

Paula Forbis, Air Pollution Control Officer

CONTACT PERSON(S):

Name: Stephanie Nicholas, Chief, Administrative Services

Phone: (619) 694-9275

Email: Stephanie.Nicholas@sdapcd.org

ATTACHMENTS:

[Item E3_AttA-FY 2024-25 Cost Recovery Analysis Report-December 2023.pdf](#)

[Item E3_AttB-Rule 40 Change Copy.pdf](#)

[Item E3_AttC-Comparison of Current & Proposed Fee Schedules.pdf](#)



December 6, 2023

Results of the FY24-25 Cost Recovery Analysis

The San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD or District) retained the Matrix Consulting Group to conduct an update to its Cost Recovery Study. The following memo provides a background of the project scope, the legal framework within which the cost recovery study was conducted, the methodology used to conduct the study, modifications to the current cost recovery model, the overall results, and the recommended cost recovery scenario.

Project Background and History

The California Health and Safety Code Sections 41512 and 42311 allow the District to recover the full costs associated with the renewal, evaluation, and issuance of permits. These sections also provide limits on fee increases for permit to operate and authority to construct permits, restricting aggregate revenue increases to 15% annually. Based upon this legal authority, the District has a goal to review its fees every year to ensure that all fee-related costs are captured and maximum cost recovery is achieved.

In 2020, the State Auditor issued a report regarding SDAPCD, which identified that fee-related expenses were not being fully recovered. As a result of these findings, the SDAPCD conducted its first external fee evaluation in 2021, with study results presented and adopted by the SDAPCD Governing Board in May 2021¹. Before implementing fee increases in 2021, the District had not raised fees in three years.

At the end of 2021, the Matrix Consulting Group worked with the District to conduct an update to the study conducted earlier in 2021. This update incorporated staffing and budgetary adjustments as well as several fee program modifications. The results of this analysis were presented and adopted by the Board for implementation on July 1, 2022. In September 2022, the Matrix Consulting Group began working with the District to conduct the next update to the Cost Recovery Analysis for implementation on July 1, 2023.

¹ A link to the 2021 Matrix Consulting Group report can be found at: [Cost Recovery Report](#)

As part of the continuing effort to ensure that fees cover the costs associated with their activities, the District is now updating its fees for implementation on July 1, 2024. The goal of this study was to update the analysis from last year based on new inputs associated with staffing, costs, workload, and any changes in fee structures.

2 Legal Framework

The California Health and Safety Code and Proposition 26 are the two primary legal frameworks governing the fees and revenue requirements for Air Pollution Control Districts. Proposition 26 considers all charges imposed by a local government as a tax, except for the following seven exceptions:

1. **Fees and Charges for Specific Benefit Conferred or Privilege Granted:** This is in relation to a payor receiving a service that is only provided to that payor specifically, and the costs for this must not exceed the reasonable costs of providing that service.
2. **Fees and Charges for Specific Government Service or Product Provided:** This is similar to the first exception and is directly in relation to a service or tangible product received, and it must not exceed the reasonable cost of that service or product. This is the exception that is used for “user fees”.
3. **Regulatory Fees and Charges:** This is in relation to issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, audits, and administrative enforcement of regulated activities. These charges must be based on reasonable regulatory costs.
4. **Use of Government Property:** This is in relation to using park or government facilities, so purchase, rental or lease of any government owned property.
5. **Fines and Penalties:** This is in relation to any charges that are imposed as a result of violation of local or state regulations.
6. **Fees and Charges Imposed as Condition of Development:** This is in relation to impact fees and requires a nexus of how the development has a specific correlation to the impact.
7. **Property Related Fees and Charges and Assessments:** This is in relation to utility / service fees that are imposed in relation to the property such as water, sewer, trash, etc.

The Air District’s fees fall under the exception #3 primarily with a handful of fees that are under exception #2. The language of Proposition 26, states that the local government must ensure that the fees imposed for any of these exceptions should be based upon the reasonable costs necessary to cover those activities or provide those services.

Additionally, there should be a reasonable relationship that exists between the cost and the benefit borne by the payors of these fees.

The Cost Recovery Model (provided under separate cover to the District) calculates the full cost of conducting regulatory activity and providing any fees for service. These costs include the direct (hands on staff conducting inspections for compliance and reviewing applications), as well as indirect support associated with those activities (i.e., permit processing, rule development, human resources, finance, IT, etc.). The District also ensures that it follows all state and federal guidelines in relation to conducting any compliance inspections or application reviews to ensure that the fee payor is only paying for their fair share of services received. Unnecessary application reviews and inspections are not imposed upon the facility. Any fines and violations for lack of compliance would be imposed separately outside of the fee process.

This study calculates the full cost (direct and indirect) associated with each fee line item assessed by the District. Therefore, for each individual fixed fee, renewal fee, asbestos, or hearing board item, it is ensured that the total fee proposed or recommended does not exceed the full cost of providing the service.

For example, in Rule 40, for Schedule 1X there is a current fixed application fee of \$636. Through the FY24-25 Cost recovery study, the full cost calculated for this fee is \$900. The District is proposing to increase all fixed application fees by 15%, resulting in the recommended fee being \$731. The proposed fee of \$731 does not exceed the full cost of \$900. Conversely, Schedule 13A shows a fixed application current fee of \$3,569 and full cost of \$3,783. As a 15% fee increase would result in the recommended fee being \$4,104 the District is recommending this fee to be set at full cost (\$3,783) or a 6% increase.

Therefore, as the examples demonstrate, even though the District applies a recommended fee percentage increase across the board to a fee schedule, each individual fee is evaluated to ensure that it does not exceed the maximum justifiable full cost fee calculated through the cost recovery model.

Methodology

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group, in partnership with District staff, to develop the full cost of fee-based services involved the following steps:

- **Staff Interviews:** The project team met with District staff to discuss and determine fee structure modifications and time estimate assumptions.

- Staff provided insight regarding changes that needed to be made to the current fee structure.
- Staff confirmed previous or provided updated time estimates associated with processing, review, and inspection services. These estimates represent average times and exclude extremely difficult or abnormally simple projects.

All fee schedule modifications and time estimate assumptions were reviewed by the project team for “reasonableness”, as well as with District management.

- **Cost Analysis:** Fiscal Year 2023 / 2024 budget and staffing documents were provided by the District. This information was then entered into the Matrix Consulting Group’s analytical software model where several cost components were calculated for each fee or service. The components then build upon each other to comprise the total cost for providing the service.

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely accepted “bottom up” approach to cost analysis. This methodology evaluates each individual fee line item and calculates its full cost (direct and indirect) based upon two components:

1. **Time Estimates:** The time it takes to provide the individual service, regardless of how many are performed annually.
2. **Fully Burdened Hourly Rate:** The hourly rate consists of the salaries, benefits, productive working hours², services and supplies³, program overhead⁴, and districtwide overhead⁵. It reflects the cost to the District of the position providing the service. It does not reflect the take home pay of the position.

The time estimates are multiplied by the fully burdened hourly rate to calculate the full cost for each individual line item on the fee schedule.

For example, for Schedule 1X the full cost for application evaluation is calculated by taking the estimated time associated with each position classification (0.30 hours for the Sr. Engineer and 2.5 hours for the Associate Engineer) and multiplying it by their

² The productive working hours reflect a reduction from 2,080 annual hours to 1,610 hours to account for vacation, holiday, sick leave, breaks, and trainings.

³ This captures the overhead costs associated with operating expenses for a program such as vehicles, fuel, software, etc.

⁴ This reflects support from clerical and supervisory staff to oversee the activities, as well as general support activities related to permits, inspections, and applications.

⁵ This reflects the support provided by the Board, Rule Development, Office of Environmental Justice, Support Services, and Administration.

respective fully burdened hourly rates (\$344.58 and \$318.55) to arrive at the full cost of \$900.

In order to calculate the estimated annual cost associated with these activities the \$900 is multiplied by the number of fixed fee applications processed during the previous fiscal year. This allows the District to represent the annual cost associated with administering the specific services for which fees are assessed and not the cost of the overall program.

Modifications to Current Cost Recovery Model

All cost recovery studies are a snapshot in time. The FY22-23 study focused on FY21-22 adopted budget and staffing, as well as FY20-21 completed workload information. Due to the nature of fee studies, the cost assumptions utilized to develop the fees are typically backward looking and based upon the current adopted budget for future fee increases. The concept being that future costs should generally be reflective of current costs. For the FY24-25 Cost Recovery Model, the project team incorporated the following data and assumptions:

- FY23-24 Adopted Budget For District Programs showing personnel and operating expenditures
- FY23-24 Adopted Staffing Levels showing updated staffing levels and staffing costs
- FY22-23 Completed Workload Information
- July 2023 Adopted Fee Amounts
- Conversion of seven (7) fees from Fixed Fees to Time and Material (T&M) and one (1) T&M fee to Fixed Fee to represent the variation in level of effort more accurately
- Consolidation of Fees – 34C was consolidated into 34H and 34G was consolidated into 34D to better reflect the level of effort and equipment type being reviewed and inspected by Engineering and Compliance staff
- Elimination of outdated fees – 15D, 37C, and 38F
- Updated time assumptions for Source Testing and Permit Evaluation Services

These model inputs ensured that the FY24-25 model was updated consistent with the current cost recovery model methodology. It also ensures that future fee increases are based upon the most recent cost, organizational structure of the District, and fee-related processes.

Cost Recovery Results

When comparing FY 23-24 fee-related expenditures⁶ with fee-related revenue based upon FY22-23 workload, the District is currently providing a fee-related subsidy of approximately \$1.9 million or recovering approximately 84% of annual fee-related costs. The following table outlines the District's existing cost recovery levels by major fee category assessed by the District:

Table 1: FY24 (Existing) Annual Cost Recovery Analysis

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Fee-Related Annual Cost	Difference	Cost Recovery %
Initial Application Fees	\$746,829	\$895,240	(\$148,411)	83%
Renewal Fees	\$5,404,568	\$6,192,617	(\$788,049)	87%
Source Testing Fees	\$927,698	\$1,467,944	(\$540,246)	63%
Asbestos Fees	\$1,292,649	\$1,402,296	(\$109,647)	92%
Hearing Board Fees	\$10,914	\$51,643	(\$40,729)	21%
Time & Material	\$1,466,936	\$1,746,909	(\$279,972)	84%
Processing Fee	\$460,459	\$521,164	(\$60,705)	88%
TOTAL	\$10,310,053	\$12,277,813	(\$1,967,760)	84%

The largest source of the District's current deficit relates to Renewal fees. Renewal Fees represent 40% of the District's current deficit, with the next largest impact associated with Source Testing Fees. Currently, this deficit is primarily being recovered through other funding sources rather than through permit holders.

It is important to stress that the fee categories above represent estimated revenue and costs for a range of services provided under each fee category and are not inclusive of other non-fee related components within a program. The annual cost is only reflective of fee-related support provided for those activities and does not encompass the entire program.

Cost Recovery Recommendation

Last year, the Board adopted a fee increase scenario that was targeted at increasing all fees that are subject to the 15% aggregate fee rule. The California Health and Safety Code Section 41512.7(d)(2) states that the District has the ability to increase individual fees for service for permit to operate and authority to construct permits as long as the total revenue for those fee categories does not exceed more than 15% in a single fiscal year.

⁶ Fee-related expenditures only refers to the annual costs associated with fee-related activities. It does not include other non-fee related components within a program. For example, Compliance has a Mobile Source unit, whose cost is not included in this calculation as that cost is not permit or fee-related.

The District has traditionally followed this Health and Safety Code guideline by applying it to Application Fees, Renewal Fees, Time and Material, and Processing Fee categories as those fees fall under the “permit to operate” and “authority to construct” permit category. For all other fee categories – Source Testing, Asbestos, and Hearing Board the District is not bound to any limits on fee or revenue increases other than the requirement that the fee cannot exceed the cost of providing the service. Therefore, under this recommended fee increase, the District is able to apply different cost increases to the fee categories to allow for greater cost recovery for the District.

The Board adopted proposed percentage increases from last year are recommended to also be applied to this year, with two differences:

1. **Asbestos:** The Board increased Asbestos fees by 25% per year for 2 years, and last year increased them only by 15%. In order to achieve maximum cost recovery for next year, only a 10% increase is needed to achieve maximum full cost recovery. The 10% increase is needed to account for increased personnel costs between FY23 and FY24.
2. **Hearing Board:** Hearing Board fees comprise 0.1% of the revenue for the District and as such only 0.42% of its costs. Increasing these fees may result in the Hearing Board fees becoming cost prohibitive, without having a significant revenue impact upon the District. Therefore, it is being recommended that these fees see a 0% increase once again for FY24-25.

The following table summarizes by major fee category, the current cost recovery percentage (FY23-24), whether it is subject to the Aggregate Fee increase of 15%, the projected fee increase percentage for FY24-25, and the resulting FY24-25 Cost Recovery percentage:

Table 2: Proposed Cost Recovery Analysis by Fee Category for FY24-25

Fee Category	Current Cost Recovery %	Subject to Aggregate Cap of 15%?	FY24-25 Fee Inc. %	FY24-25 Cost Recovery %
Initial Application Fees	83%	Yes	15%	91%
Renewal Fees	87%	Yes	15%	97%
Source Testing Fees	63%	No	15%	73%
Asbestos Fees	92%	No	10%	100%
Hearing Board Fees	21%	No	0%	21%
Time & Material Fees	84%	Yes	15%	96%
Processing Fees	88%	Yes	15%	99%

The District’s current cost recovery for its fees ranges from a low of 21% for Hearing Board to a high of 92% for Asbestos. The highlighted rows in the table above represent those categories that are subject to the 15% revenue limit, meaning the total revenue for

those fees combined cannot exceed 15%. As the table indicates, fee categories that are subject to the cap of 15% revenue increase, the fee increases are all set at 15%. For all other fee categories, other than Asbestos, the fee increase is the same as the last fiscal year and Board adopted increase. The following table shows for each of the major fee categories, the current revenue based on FY23-24 budgeted staffing and expenditure costs and FY22-23 workload, the projected revenue at the proposed FY24-25 fee percentage increase, and the resulting revenue change:

Table 3: Proposed Revenue Increase Impacts

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Projected Revenue	\$ Difference
Initial Application Fees	\$750,138	\$814,289	\$67,460
Renewal Fees	\$5,382,731	\$5,999,753	\$595,185
Source Testing Fees	\$927,698	\$1,065,388	\$137,690
Asbestos Fees	\$1,292,649	\$1,397,425	\$104,776
Hearing Board Fees	\$10,914	\$10,914	\$0
Time & Material Fees	\$1,466,936	\$1,676,123	\$209,187
Processing Fees	\$460,459	\$513,882	\$53,423
TOTAL	\$10,291,525	\$11,477,774	\$1,167,720

The District's total revenue would be projected to be increased by an estimated \$1.2 million from \$10.3 million to \$11.5 million. The largest increase in revenue would be renewal fees estimated at \$593,000, followed by Time & Material fees estimated at \$209,000. The estimated \$1.2 million would represent a 11% increase in revenue for the District and **would result in the District's fee-for-service cost recovery increasing from 84% to 93%.**

When the District first started this study process in 2021, the fee-for-service cost recovery was calculated at approximately 66%. This is the District's fourth year of conducting the study and update, and it will result in getting fee-for-service cost recovery to approximately 93%. The original study had estimated that it would take the District more than 5 years to get to full cost recovery (barring any operational and major cost changes), and as such, the District is on track towards achieving maximum fee-related cost recovery.

As the District gets closer to cost recovery, there will be less of a need for significant annual fee increases. Once fee-related cost recovery is achieved, annual fee increases will only need to match annual cost increases associated with personnel and operating expenditures.

The following table summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed fee increases from the perspective of internal (District) and external (permit and fee holders) stakeholders:

Table 4: Cost Recovery Recommendation – Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Internal: Increased revenue for the District.• External: Lower fee increases for Asbestos and no fee increases for Hearing Board.• External: Continued focus on ensuring that receivers of the service are paying for their fair share of the service.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• External: Continued fee increase for rate payors.

The proposed fee increases are consistent with previously adopted Board practices, enables the District to continue its movement towards increasing cost recovery, and applies fee increases based upon estimated level of cost recovery.

REGULATION III: FEES

RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES

(Adopted ~~January 12, 2023~~ *(date of adoption)* & Effective July 1, ~~2023~~ 2024)

Table of Contents

(a)	APPLICABILITY	2
(b)	DEFINITIONS	2
(c)	GENERAL PROVISIONS	3
(d)	AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES	3
	(1) General Provisions	3
	(2) Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate	4
	Calculation Worksheet for Initial Application Fees	4
	(3) Initial Evaluation Fee	5
	(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fees	5
	(5) Additional Evaluation and Processing Fees for New or Revised Applications	6
	(6) Fees for Revisions to Valid Permits	7
	Calculation Worksheet for Modified Equipment Fees	7
	(7) Fees for Revisions to Valid Authorities to Construct	8
	(8) Special Application Processing Provisions	8
(e)	ANNUAL OPERATING FEES	10
	(1) General Provisions	10
	(2) Annual Operating Fees	11
	Calculation Worksheet for Annual Operating Fees	11
	(3) Staggered Renewal Dates	12
	(4) Split Payment of Annual Operating Fees	12
	(5) Inactive Status Permits	13
	(6) Expiration and Retirement of Permits	13
(f)	SPECIFIC PROGRAM FEES	14
	(1) General Provisions	14
	(2) Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Operation Plan	14
	(3) Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan Fee	15
	(4) Grid Search	15
	(5) New or Modified Power Plants	15
	(6) Toxic Hot Spots	15
	(7) California Clean Air Act	16
	(8) Title V Operating Permit	16
	(9) Synthetic Minor Source Permit	16
	(10) Determination of Exemption	17
	(11) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	17
(g)	LATE FEES	17
(h)	RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT(S) & REINSTATEMENT OF RETIRED PERMIT(S)	18
	(1) General Provisions	18
	(2) Renewal of Expired Permit(s) to Operate	18
	(3) Reinstatement of Retired Permit(s) to Operate	18
(i)	REFUNDS, INSUFFICIENT PAYMENT OF FEES AND CANCELLATIONS	18
	(1) General Provisions	18
	(2) Application Fee Refunds	18
	(3) Annual Operating Fee Refunds	20
	(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee Refunds	20
	(5) Other Fees	20
	(6) Cancellation Fees - Source Testing and Test Witnessing	20
	(7) Insufficient Payment of Fees	21
	Alphabetical List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type	22
	Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type	24

RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES

(a) APPLICABILITY

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of these rules, this rule shall be used to determine all fees charged by the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District), as authorized by the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board, except for those specified in Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees. These include, but are not limited to, fees for: applications, permits, portable equipment registrations, renewals, source testing, asbestos demolition or renovation notifications, emergency episode plans, grid searches, technical consultations, new or modified power plants, Toxic Hot Spots, Title V Operating Permits, and Synthetic Minor Source Permits, and reviews, analyses, documents and procedures required or requested pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

(2) This rule shall be used to determine refunds, forfeitures and insufficient payment of fees, if applicable.

(b) DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall apply for terms used in this rule:

(1) **“Annual Operating Fee”** means all fees related to a permit that are paid on an annual basis. These include, but are not limited to, the following: Site Identification (ID) Processing and Handling Fee, Permit Processing Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee, and Annual Source Test Fee.

(2) **“Applicant”** means the owner of the emission unit or operation, or an agent specified by the owner.

(3) **“Initial Application Fees”** means all fees related to an application. These include, but are not limited to, a Non-refundable Processing Fee, Initial Evaluation Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, an Additional Engineering Evaluation Fee and/or Source Test Fee.

(4) **“Location”** means the same as “Stationary Source” as defined in Rule 2 – Definitions.

(5) **“Permit to Operate”** or **“permit”** means any District authority to operate, such as a Permit to Operate, Certificate of Registration, Title V or Synthetic Minor Source permit, unless otherwise specified.

(6) **“T+M”** means time and material costs.

(7) **“Valid Permit or Valid Authority to Construct”** means a Permit or Authority to Construct for which all fees are current.

All other terms mean the same as defined in Rule 2 – Definitions unless otherwise defined by an applicable rule or regulation.

(c) GENERAL PROVISIONS

(1) No application shall be considered received unless accompanied by the completed application and associated supplemental forms (if applicable) and the appropriate Initial Evaluation Fees.

(2) All time and material (T+M) costs shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates.

(3) If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that the activities of any one company would cause an increase of at least 10% in any one Emission Unit Fee Schedule, the Air Pollution Control Officer may delete the costs attributed to that company from the cost data used to determine that type of Emission Unit Fee Schedule. The costs from such a company shall be recovered by development of a source-specific Emission Unit Fee Schedule. The specific Initial Evaluation or Emission Unit Renewal Fee Schedules shall be submitted to the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board for consideration and adoption.

(4) If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that a person has under-reported material usage, emissions or other information necessary for calculating an emissions inventory, and such under-reporting has led to an Air Contaminant Emissions Fee less than what would have been due if correct usage, emissions or other information had been reported, then the person shall pay the difference between the original and corrected Air Contaminant Emissions Fee plus a charge equal to 30% of the difference. Such charge shall not apply if the permittee demonstrates to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction that the under-reporting was the result of inadvertent error or omission which the permittee took all reasonable steps to avoid. Required fees not paid within 30 days of the due date shall be assessed a late fee in the amount prescribed in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(5) Credit card payments for fees will be assessed a processing fee of 2.19% of the amount paid by credit card. This processing fee covers only costs assessed to the District by credit card providers. Payments made using the online application submittal system will not be assessed a processing fee but will be subject to fees charged by the online submittal system vendor for the service. These convenience fees are not remitted to the District.

(d) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES

(1) General Provisions

(i) Every applicant for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate for any article, machine, equipment or other contrivance shall pay the applicable fees as specified in this Section (d) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees for each emission unit.

(ii) A ~~\$113~~\$130 Non-refundable Processing Fee shall be submitted with each application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate, Change of Location, Change to an Existing Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate, Like-Kind Replacement or Banking Emission Reduction Credits. This fee does not apply to applications for a Change of Ownership, Identical Replacement, or Fee Schedules 49(a) or 49(b).

(iii) When additional evaluation fees are required, the applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the evaluation costs upon receipt of such an invoice. The District may stop work on the application until the invoiced amount is fully paid.

(iv) Initial Evaluation Fees and Emission Unit Renewal Fees shall be determined using the amounts listed in Columns (1) and (2), respectively, of the Fee Schedules provided within this rule.

(2) Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate

The Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate application shall include a Non-refundable Processing Fee, Initial Evaluation Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, an Additional Engineering Evaluation Fee and/or Source Test Fee.

Calculation Worksheet for Initial Application Fees

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$113 <u>\$130</u>
Initial Evaluation Fee ¹	
Emission Unit Renewal Fee ¹	
Air Contaminant Emissions Fee ²	
Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees ³	
Source Test Fee ⁴	
Total:	\$ _____

Notes:

1. See Fee Schedule. If T+M fee is indicated, ~~call the District for a fee estimate~~ visit www.sdapcd.org for the specific equipment type being requested and complete a fee estimate form to submit with the application materials.
2. See Subsection (d)(4) to determine applicable fee, based on total facility emissions.
3. See Subsection (d)(5) to determine if additional fees are required; ~~or call the District for a fee estimate~~ visit www.sdapcd.org for the specific equipment type being requested and complete a fee estimate form to submit with the application materials.
4. Call the District for a Source Test Fee estimate.

(3) Initial Evaluation Fee

The Initial Evaluation Fee shall be determined based on the specific type of equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted, as listed in Column (1) of the Fee Schedules provided within this rule.

(i) Where the fee specified in Column (1) is T+M, the fee shall be the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual evaluation cost at the time of application submittal.

(ii) If the equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted is not listed in the Fee Schedules, the Initial Evaluation Fee shall be on a T+M basis, including the Emission Unit Renewal Fee, as specified in Fee Schedule 91 – Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates.

(iii) If the equipment, process, or operation for which an application is required solely due to a change in Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, the evaluation fee shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsection (d)(5).

(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fees

The Air Contaminant Emissions Fee is an annual fee based on total air contaminant emissions from the stationary source. This fee shall also apply to portable equipment permitted or registered under these Rules and Regulations. For purposes of this subsection, the term “facility” means either the stationary source, or collection of portable equipment permitted or registered under a single site ID.

(i) For existing facilities, an Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall not be collected as part of an Initial Application Fee, if the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee was paid as part of the most recent Annual Operating Fees.

(ii) For new facilities, the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid with the first permit application filed for the new facility and based upon actual expected air contaminant emissions from the facility, as estimated by the District, for the calendar year in which the Permit to Operate is issued, as specified below. This fee shall remain unchanged until revised to reflect the most recent District approved emissions inventory report.

(A) If the actual expected annual emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), oxides of sulfur, particulate matter (PM₁₀) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) equal or exceed five tons, then the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be based on the total expected emissions of all these contaminants for that calendar year, multiplied by an air contaminant emissions fee rate of \$116 per ton.

(B) For all other new facilities, a single Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid based on the following table using the Fee Schedule that is most representative of the nature of the activities at the stationary source:

<u>Fee Schedule</u>	<u>Source Category Description</u>	<u>Annual Emissions Fee</u>
26(a)	VOC dispensing facility - Phase I and Phase II controls required	\$9 per nozzle
28(k and l)	Contract service solvent cleaning units (for contract companies with 100 or more units)	\$7 per cleaning unit
28(f)	Facilities with only remote reservoir units and no other permits at the facility	\$7 per cleaning unit
27(e)	Industrial surface coating applications	\$580
27(k)	Metal parts and aerospace coating applications	\$580
27(v)	Adhesive application operations	\$580
Various	All other stationary sources	\$116

If the most representative nature of the activities cannot be determined for facilities with more than one source category description or fee schedule, the highest applicable annual emissions fee shall apply.

(5) Additional Evaluation and Processing Fees for New or Revised Applications or Revised Permits to Operate

If an application requires the District to evaluate the emission unit for compliance with Rule 51 – Nuisance, Rule 1200 – Toxic Air Contaminants-New Source Review, Rules 20.1 through 20.8 (New Source Review), Rules 26.0 through 26.10 (Emission Reduction Credits), pre-backfill inspections for gasoline dispensing facilities, Regulation X – New Source Performance Standards, Regulation XI – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, Regulation XII – Toxic Air Contaminants, federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) requirements, a federal National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), State Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM), CEQA, to conduct additional application or permit to operate processing procedures in accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 42301 or 42301.6, or to witness testing or conduct inspections to verify compliance with any State Vapor Recovery Executive Order as part of a Like Kind Replacement application processed according to Rule 11 (d)(5)(ii), the applicant shall pay the actual cost incurred by the District for such evaluation and processing procedures, and any additional fees specified by this rule. The applicant shall deposit the

amount estimated to cover the actual evaluation cost at the time of application submittal or upon request by the District.

(6) Fees for Revisions to Valid Permits

The owner of a valid permit, or ~~his~~their agent, may submit an application to propose the types of changes listed below. The evaluation fee for a revision shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsections (d)(5), (d)(6)(v), and (d)(6)(vi). The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost of evaluating the proposed change at the time of application submittal.

Calculation Worksheet for Modified Equipment Fees

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$113-\$130
Initial Evaluation Fee ¹	
Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees ²	

Total: \$ _____

Notes:

1. See Fee Schedules, use Column (1). If T+M fee is indicated, ~~call the District for a fee estimate~~ visit www.sdapcd.org for the specific equipment type being requested and complete a fee estimate form to submit with the application materials.
2. See Subsection (d)(5) to determine if additional fees are required; or ~~call the District for a fee estimate~~ visit www.sdapcd.org for the specific equipment type being requested and complete a fee estimate form to submit with the application materials.

(i) Operational Change: An application which proposes an operational change of a valid permit.

(ii) Condition Change: An application which proposes a condition change of a valid permit.

(iii) Additions, Alterations and Replacement of Equipment: An application which proposes an addition, alteration or replacement of an emission unit described in a valid permit.

(iv) Review for a Change of Location: An application which proposes a change of location for an emission unit with a valid permit. An application is not required for any change of location within a stationary source or for a portable emission unit.

(v) Ownership Change: An application which proposes an ownership change for a valid permit shall pay an administrative fee of ~~\$113~~ \$130. The applicant shall demonstrate to the District's satisfaction proof of entitlement to the Permit to Operate at the time of application submittal. Prior to an ownership change application being processed, payment of all outstanding charges that are normally due and associated with that permit must be paid.

(vi) Like-Kind Replacement Units per Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, Subsection (d)(5): An application for a permit change to reflect an eligible like-kind replacement emission unit pursuant to Rule 11 (d)(5)(ii), shall pay a fee of ~~\$430~~ \$495, in addition to the Non-refundable Processing Fee and any additional fees provided under Subsection (d)(5) of this rule.

(7) Fees for Revisions to Valid Authorities to Construct

The owner of a valid Authority to Construct, or ~~his~~ their agent, may submit an application to propose the types of changes listed in Subsections (d)(6)(i thru v). The evaluation fee for a revision shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsection (d)(5). The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost of evaluating the proposed change at the time of application submittal.

(8) Special Application Processing Provisions

(i) Reduced Fees for Similar Emission Units at a Single Stationary Source

If more than one application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is submitted at the same time for similar emission units at the same stationary source location, then the first emission unit shall be charged the Initial Application Fee as specified in Subsection (d)(2). Each additional emission unit shall be charged the Emission Unit Renewal Fee and the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to evaluate the emission unit and act upon the applications. The total cost for each additional emission unit shall not exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee (Column (1)), except as provided under Subsection (d)(5).

This provision only applies to the extent that each emission unit will be operated independently, and the evaluation for an Authority to Construct for the first emission unit can be applied to the additional units because of similarity in design and operation, and each emission unit can be evaluated and inspected for a Permit to

Operate at the same time. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to Fee Schedules 3 and 26.

(ii) Reinspection Fees

If during an inspection for a Permit to Operate, an emission unit cannot be evaluated due to circumstances beyond the control of the District, the applicant shall pay the actual time and material costs of performing a reinspection. An estimated reinspection fee, as determined by the District, may be required to be deposited with the District prior to reinspection of the emission unit.

(iii) Split Fee Payments for Applications

An applicant may request, due to financial hardship, to split the payment of Initial Application Fees into two equal payments. This request must be made in writing. The first payment, equal to 50% of the Initial Application Fees, plus an administrative fee of \$75, must be deposited with the application. The second payment, equal to the remaining balance, is due no later than 60 days after filing the application. Failure to pay the Initial Application Fees in full within 60 days after filing the application, may result in cancellation of the application, as specified in Subsection (i)(7) – Insufficient Payment of Fees.

(iv) Fees for Expedited Application Processing

If an applicant requests expedited processing of an application and the District determines that such expedited processing is available through voluntary overtime work, the applicant shall pay fees equal to one and one-quarter times the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates for the overtime work. At the time of submittal of the application, the applicant shall deposit a fee equal to that otherwise specified by this rule. If the application receives expedited processing, no final action shall be taken on the application until the applicant has paid the remainder of the fees required by this paragraph.

(v) Requirement for Defense and Indemnification Agreement

On a case-by-case basis, where significant risk to the District is identified in connection with the processing of an application, the Air Pollution Control Officer may require a defense and indemnification agreement from the applicant. The agreement shall be in a form approved by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

On a case-by-case basis, the Air Pollution Control Officer may determine to require security from the applicant. A determination to require security shall only be made by the Air Pollution Control Officer, and shall not be delegable. The Air Pollution Control Officer shall establish the form and amount of the security, as well as the time the security is to be provided to the District.

(vi) Indemnification

Each applicant, to the extent the applicant is at fault in causing liability to the District, shall indemnify the District, its agents, officers and employees (collectively “District Parties”) from any claim, action, liability, or proceeding against the District Parties to attack, set aside, void or annul the applicant’s project or any of the proceedings, acts or determinations taken, done or made as a result of District’s processing and/or approval of the project, as specified below. Each applicant's obligation to indemnify shall apply to any lawsuit or challenge against the District Parties alleging failure to comply with the requirements of any federal, state, or local laws, including, but not limited to, requirements of these Rules and Regulations. This indemnification requirement shall be included in the application form provided to all applicants.

Each applicant's obligation to indemnify the District Parties shall include, but not be limited to, payment of all court costs and attorneys' fees, costs of any judgments or awards against the District, damages, and/or settlement costs, which arise out of District’s processing and/or approval of the applicant’s project, except that an applicant shall only be responsible for indemnifying the District Parties in the amount of liability which is equal to the proportion of fault caused by the applicant, as determined by a court. Where any court action results in a ruling for the plaintiff/petitioner, the applicant and the District shall request a determination on the percentage contribution of fault from the court which adjudicated the underlying challenge to the applicant’s project.

Notwithstanding this subsection, when a defense and indemnification agreement is required for a project under Subsection (d)(8)(v) above, the provisions of the defense and indemnification agreement shall apply to the applicant and not the provisions of this subsection.

(vii) Fees for Previously Permitted Emission Units Operating Without Valid Permits

In addition to the fees otherwise specified by this Section (d) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees, a person who is applying for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate for a previously permitted emission unit that was operated after the applicable permit expired, and is no longer eligible for reinstatement, shall pay the annual operating and late fees specified in Sections (e) Annual Operating Fees, Section (f) Specific Program Fees, and Section (g) Late Fees, that would have otherwise been due. Such payment shall not negate any fines and penalties that may be assessed for violations of the requirement to operate with a valid permit.

(e) ANNUAL OPERATING FEES

(1) General Provisions

(i) Annual Operating Fees are due on an annual basis and shall be paid by any person who is required to maintain a Permit to Operate or Temporary Authorization pursuant to Rule 10 – Permits Required, Section (b) – Permit to Operate.

(ii) Annual Operating Fees are due by 5 PM Pacific Time on the date the permit expires. Permits expire on the last day of the renewal month. Payments received after the permit expiration date are subject to the late fee provisions of Section (g) – Late Fees.

(2) Annual Operating Fees

The following applicable fees shall be paid as part of the Annual Operating Fees: Site ID Processing and Handling Fee, Permit Processing Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee and Annual Source Test Fee.

Calculation Worksheet for Annual Operating Fees

Site ID Processing and Handling Fee	\$43-\$48
Permit Processing Fee (\$33-\$36 x number of permitted units)	
Emission Unit Renewal Fee (See (iii) below)	
Air Contaminant Emissions Fee (See (iv) below)	
District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee (See (v) below)	
Annual Source Test Fee (See (vi) below)	

Total: \$ _____

(i) Site ID Processing and Handling Fee: A site ID processing and handling fee of ~~\$43-\$48~~ per facility.

(ii) Permit Processing Fee: A permit processing fee of ~~\$33-\$36~~ per Permit to Operate.

(iii) Emission Unit Renewal Fee: An annual renewal fee, for each specific type of emission unit, as specified in the Fee Schedules (Column (2)).

(iv) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee: An annual Air Contaminant Emissions Fee based on total emissions from the stationary source. This fee shall also apply to portable equipment permitted or registered under these Rules and Regulations. For purposes of this subsection, the term “facility” means either the stationary source, or collection of portable equipment permitted or registered under a single site ID.

(A) For facilities with annual emissions of either carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), oxides of sulfur, particulate matter (PM₁₀) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) that equal or exceed five tons, as indicated by the most recent District approved emission inventory report or an initial evaluation made pursuant to Subsection (d)(4)(ii), the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall

be based on the total calendar year emissions of all these contaminants, multiplied by an air contaminant emissions fee rate of \$116 per ton.

(B) For all other facilities, a single Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid based on the following table using the Fee Schedule that is most representative of the nature of the activities at the stationary source:

<u>Fee Schedule</u>	<u>Source Category Description</u>	<u>Annual Emissions Fee</u>
26(a)	VOC dispensing facility - Phase I and Phase II controls required	\$9 per nozzle
28 (k and l)	Contract service solvent cleaning units (for contract companies with 100 or more units)	\$7 per cleaning unit
28(f)	Facilities with only remote reservoir units and no other permits at the facility	\$7 per cleaning unit
27(e)	Industrial surface coating applications	\$580
27(k)	Metal parts and aerospace coating applications	\$580
27(v)	Adhesive application operations	\$580
Various	All other stationary sources	\$116

If the most representative nature of the activities cannot be determined for facilities with more than one source category description or fee schedule, the highest applicable annual emissions fee shall apply.

(v) District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee: If applicable, the stationary source-specific fee required under the Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act as specified in Subsection (f)(6).

(vi) Annual Source Test Fee: If a periodic source test is required, the applicable source test fee, as specified in Fee Schedules 92 and/or 93.

(3) Staggered Renewal Dates

The District may initiate, or the owner of a Permit to Operate may request in writing, to change the renewal month of all permits located at a single facility. When the established renewal month for a facility is changed to a new renewal month, the amount due for each permit shall be prorated to reflect the new renewal month. Revised permits will be issued after the prorated amount has been paid.

(4) Split Payment of Annual Operating Fees

Owners or operators may request, due to financial hardship, to split the payment of the Annual Operating Fees into four equal payments. This request must be made in writing at least seven days prior to the due date. The first payment, equal to 25% of the Annual Operating Fees, plus an administrative fee of \$75, must be deposited by 5 PM Pacific Time on the last day of the renewal month. The subsequent three payments, equal to 25% each of the Annual Operating Fees, are due no later than 30, 60, and 90 days after the last day of the renewal month.

Permits with approved split payment requests will expire 120 days after the last day of the renewal month if the Annual Operating Fees are not paid in full or will be issued for the remainder of the annual period after full payment of the Annual Operating Fees is made. Failure to pay the Annual Operating Fees in full within 120 days after the last day of the renewal month, shall be assessed a late fee in the amount prescribed in Section (g) – Late Fees. Permits that have expired after the 120 days, pursuant to this subsection, will be renewed or reinstated if the requirements set out in Rule 10 – Permits Required Section (h) and this Rule 40 Section (h) are met.

(5) Inactive Status Permits

A person who holds a valid permit who desires to have that permit placed on inactive status pursuant to Rule 10 – Permits Required shall submit an application requesting such change and shall pay the Initial Evaluation Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (1)). If such request is received at the time of annual renewal of the permit, the person shall also pay the annual Emission Unit Renewal Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (2)). Thereafter, the annual Emission Unit Renewal Fee for the inactive status permit shall be as specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (2)). When a person who holds a valid inactive status permit applies, in accordance with Rule 10, for the condition prohibiting operation to be removed and the permit returned to active status, the owner or operator shall pay the Initial Evaluation Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(b)(Column (1)), any Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees required pursuant to Subsection (d)(5), and the applicable Annual Operating Fee specified in this Section (e) Annual Operating Fees for that category of emission unit with an active status permit, prorated for the portion of the permit renewal year remaining.

(6) Expiration and Retirement of Permits

(i) Expiration of Permits due to Non-Payment of Annual Operating Fees

If Annual Operating Fees are not paid by the permit expiration date, the permit will expire on that date. An expired permit may be renewed within six months of the expiration date as provided in Subsection (h)(2).

(ii) Retirement of Permits due to Non-payment of Annual Operating Fees

If Annual Operating Fees are not paid within six months from the permit expiration date, the permit will be retired on the day following the last day of the six-month period from the permit expiration date. A retired permit may be reinstated within six months of the retirement date as provided in Subsection (h)(3). Emission units for which a permit was not reinstated within six months of the retirement date will require an application for a new Permit to Operate.

(iii) Retirement by Permittee Request

Owners or operators may, at any time, request retirement of a valid permit(s). This request must be made in writing. Retired permit(s) may be reinstated within six months of the date of retirement as provided in Subsection (h)(3).

(f) SPECIFIC PROGRAM FEES

(1) General Provisions

For all of the applicable programs listed below, a late fee as described in Section (g) – Late Fees shall be assessed if the required fees are not paid within 30 days after the due date.

(2) Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Notification

For each asbestos demolition or renovation notification subject to Rule 1206 – Asbestos Removal, Renovation, and Demolition, the owner or operator shall pay the applicable fees specified below. For projects where one notification is submitted for both renovation and demolition operations, the owner or operator shall pay both applicable renovation and demolition fees. Fees are due at the time a notification is submitted. Notifications or revisions thereof will not be considered received unless accompanied with the required fees. The terms used below are defined in Rule 1206.

<u>TYPE OF OPERATION</u>	<u>Notification Fee</u>	<u>Online Notification Fee ¹</u>
1. Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings having four or fewer dwelling units)		
<100 sq. ft.	\$900 <u>\$976</u>	\$653 <u>\$708</u>
100 sq. ft. to 500 sq. ft.	\$930 <u>\$1008</u>	\$683 <u>\$740</u>
501 to 2,000 sq. ft.	\$1000 <u>\$1085</u>	\$753 <u>\$817</u>
2,001 to 5,000 sq. ft.	\$1128 <u>\$1223</u>	\$881 <u>\$955</u>
5,001 to 10,000 sq. ft.	\$1167 <u>\$1,265</u>	\$920 <u>\$998</u>
>10,000 sq. ft.	\$1191 <u>\$1292</u>	\$944 <u>\$1024</u>
2. Planned (Annual) Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$133 <u>\$144</u>	\$133 <u>\$144</u>
3. Emergency Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$133 <u>\$144</u>	\$133 <u>\$144</u>
4. Demolition Operations		
Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites	\$1031 <u>\$1119</u>	\$929 <u>\$872</u>
Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present	\$804 <u>\$884</u>	\$731 <u>\$793</u>
5. Emergency Demolition Operations (add to demolition operation fee listed above)	\$133 <u>\$144</u>	\$133 <u>\$144</u>
6. Revised Notification Fee for Renovations, Demolitions, Planned Renovations, and Emergency Operations ² (NOTE: a revision is defined as a change in the original start date or when the amount of asbestos changes by greater than or equal to 20%.)	\$83 <u>\$91</u>	N/A
7. Cancellation Fee for Renovations or Demolitions Operations	\$108 <u>\$119</u>	N/A

Notes:

1. Online notification fees apply when the notification is submitted to the District using the online Citizen Access Portal.
2. Additional fees may be required if the revised amount of asbestos to be removed increases to a higher category. The additional fee will be the difference between the fee paid and the fee required for the new category.

(3) Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan Fee

The owner or operator of a facility for which a plan or a plan update is required by District Regulation VIII – San Diego Air Pollution Emergency Plan shall pay a \$147 evaluation fee for each plan or plan update, at the time the plan is submitted for review.

(4) Grid Search

Any school district, individual, business or agency that submits a request for the District to conduct a grid search to identify all facilities with the potential to emit hazardous air contaminants (pollutants) shall deposit an initial fee of \$362 at the time the grid search is requested. If the actual costs incurred are greater than the amount deposited, the school district, individual, business or agency that made the request shall submit an additional amount as specified by the District to recover the remaining actual costs of performing the grid search.

(5) New or Modified Power Plants

Any source subject to the requirements of Rule 20.5 – Power Plants, shall reimburse the District for the actual costs incurred in order to comply with the provisions of Rule 20.5. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost at the time of application submittal.

(6) Toxic Hot Spots

The owner or operator of a facility who has been identified by the District as being subject to the requirements of California Health and Safety Code Section 44300 et seq. (the Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act), shall deposit or pay the applicable fees specified below to the District.

(i) Upon receipt of a fee estimate or invoice from the District, deposit or pay the amount estimated or invoiced to cover the actual costs associated with the following requirements.

(A) Toxic air contaminant emissions source testing when necessary to determine emissions for inclusion in a toxic air contaminant emissions inventory.

(B) Health risk assessment or updated health risk assessment review, revision, and approval pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44360 et seq. or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(C) Public notification of health risks pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44362 or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(D) Facility toxic air contaminant risk reduction audit and plan pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44390 or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

No health risk assessment or risk reduction audit and plan required pursuant to this provision shall be considered received unless accompanied by the appropriate fees as specified in Subsection (f)(6)(i).

(ii) An annual fee, as specified in Subsection (e)(1), for the recovery of State program costs. The amount of the annual State program fee for each facility shall be that specified by the California Air Resources Board in accordance with the State Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Fee Regulation contained in Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Section 90700 et seq.

(7) California Clean Air Act

The owner or operator of a stationary source who is required by Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Section 90800, et seq., to pay a fee adopted by the California Air Resources Board shall pay the required fee to the District within 30 days of receipt of an invoice for the required fees.

(8) Title V Operating Permit

The owner or operator of a stationary source subject to the requirements of Regulation XIV – Title V Operating Permits, shall pay the actual time and materials costs incurred by the District to review and act upon an application for initial permit, permit modification, administrative permit amendment, Section 502(b)(10) change (42 U.S.C. §7661a), Trading Under an Emissions Cap Operational Flexibility change, enhanced Authority to Construct and/or Title V operating permit renewal; to evaluate such source for compliance with Regulation XIV and the terms and conditions of a Title V operating permit, including, but not limited to, the costs incurred to document such evaluation, to prepare reports, and to take any actions necessary in cases of noncompliance; to reopen an existing Title V operating permit; and to cancel a Title V operating permit. All such applications shall also pay the Non-refundable Processing Fee of ~~\$113~~\$130.

(9) Synthetic Minor Source Permit

The owner or operator of a stationary source that submits an application to obtain a Synthetic Minor Source (SMS) Permit pursuant to Rule 60.2 – Limiting Potential to Emit-Synthetic Minor Sources, shall pay the fees specified below to recover the actual costs incurred by the District to review and act upon an application for initial permit, permit modification and/or permit renewal.

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$113 <u>\$130</u>
Application evaluation fee (new or modified permits)	T+M
SMS permit renewal fee	T+M

(10) Determination of Exemption

The owner or operator of any emission unit or process requesting a determination of exemption pursuant to Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, Subsection (d)(19), shall pay the Non-refundable Processing Fee of ~~\$113~~ \$130, plus an evaluation fee based on T+M to recover the actual costs incurred by the District to evaluate the emission unit or process.

(11) California Environmental Quality Act

Whenever the District is requested or required to conduct analyses, review or prepare documents, or conduct and/or participate in administrative procedures, meetings or hearings pursuant to CEQA, the District costs shall be paid by the persons requesting and/or receiving such services. District staff costs shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates. Costs to the District resulting from the activities of other agencies or consultants to the District necessary to provide such services shall be included in the total District costs. Persons requesting and/or receiving such services shall be charged the estimated cost of providing those services and shall deposit such amount to the District in advance of the service, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the District. If the actual costs incurred are greater than the amounts deposited, the persons requesting and/or receiving the services shall deposit additional amounts as specified by the District to recover the remaining actual costs. Any funds deposited in excess of actual costs incurred shall be refunded.

(g) LATE FEES

(1) Late fees for Annual Operating Fees due to the District shall apply as follows:

(i) A late fee of 30% of the Annual Operating Fees due or \$250, whichever is less, shall be added for fees paid later than the last day of the renewal month.

(ii) An additional late fee of 10% of the Annual Operating Fees due shall be added for each additional month or portion thereof that the fees remain unpaid.

(iii) In no case shall the late fees exceed 100% of the total Annual Operating Fees.

(2) Late fees for any payments due to the District, except Annual Operating Fees, shall apply as follows:

(i) A late fee of 30% of the amount due shall be added for payments made more than 30 days after the due date.

(ii) An additional late fee of 10% of the amount due shall be added for each additional month or portion thereof that the payment is not received.

(iii) In no case shall the late fees exceed 100% of the amount due.

(3) On a case-by-case basis, upon written request, the Air Pollution Control Officer may waive late fees due to financial hardship during declared federal, State, or local emergencies provided that the Annual Operating Fees, and any other payments due to the District, have been made in full.

(h) RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT(S) & REINSTATEMENT OF RETIRED PERMIT(S)

(1) General Provisions

In addition to the Annual Operating Fees due for renewing an expired permit or reinstating a retired permit, any applicable fees pursuant to Subsection (d)(6), such as an ownership change, change of location, or modification, shall be paid concurrently.

New owners seeking to renew or reinstate a retired permit are responsible for payment of all outstanding charges that are normally due and associated with that retired or expired permit.

(2) Renewal of Expired Permit(s) to Operate

An expired permit can be renewed within six months of the expiration date by paying the applicable Annual Operating Fees and the late fees as specified in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(3) Reinstatement of Retired Permit(s) to Operate

A retired permit can be reinstated within six months of the retirement date by submitting a written request, and paying the applicable Annual Operating Fees, a reinstatement fee of \$75 and the late fees as specified in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(i) REFUNDS, INSUFFICIENT PAYMENT OF FEES AND CANCELLATIONS

(1) General Provisions

(i) No refunds shall be issued for amounts of less than \$25.

(ii) If an applicant does not sign, date and return a refund claim form within six months after receipt of the form, all rights to a refund shall be forfeited.

(2) Application Fee Refunds

(i) If an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is withdrawn by the applicant:

(A) before the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$113~~\$130 Non-refundable Processing Fee.

(B) after the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$113~~\$130 Non-refundable Processing Fee, and all costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(ii) If an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is denied or cancelled, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$113~~\$130 Non-refundable Processing Fee, the Initial Evaluation Fee (if a dollar amount is listed in Column (1), and not T+M), and all other costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(iii) Certificate of Registration Refunds: If an application for a Certificate of Registration is withdrawn by the applicant after the engineering evaluation has begun, or withdrawn seven days after the date of receipt, or the application is denied or cancelled, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$113~~\$130 Non-refundable Processing Fee, the Initial Evaluation Fee, and all other costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(iv) Refund Due to Overpayment of T+M, Initial Evaluation Fees, Toxic Hot Spots Fees, or Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees: If the total cost incurred by the District to evaluate any application, health risk assessment, or risk reduction audit and plan involving T+M fees is less than the amount deposited by the applicant, the District will refund any overage beyond its actual evaluation costs and less the ~~\$113~~\$130 Non-refundable Processing Fee. This provision does not apply to Initial Evaluation Fees for which a fixed amount is established in the Fee Schedules or to any annual fee for the recovery of State Air Toxic Hot Spot program costs.

(v) Exempt Equipment Refunds: Except for requests for exemption processed according to Rule 40(f)(10), if the District determines that the article, machine equipment or other contrivance for which the application was submitted is not within the purview of state law or these Rules and Regulations, a full refund of the fees paid will be issued to the applicant. If a request for a determination of exemption is withdrawn by the applicant before the engineering evaluation has begun, the District

will refund the entire deposit and any other fees paid. If a request for a determination of exemption is withdrawn by the applicant after the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire deposit and any other fees paid, less any costs incurred by the District to evaluate the request.

(3) Annual Operating Fee Refunds

A refund of the Annual Operating Fees shall not be issued unless the fees for the upcoming year are paid prior to the Permit to Operate renewal date and the request for a refund of these fees is made prior to the Permit to Operate renewal date. No refunds will be made for fees or late payments made after the due date.

(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee Refunds

(i) New Facilities: The Air Contaminant Emissions Fee portion of the Initial Application Fee shall only be refunded if the application is withdrawn or cancelled prior to the issuance of a Startup Authorization or Permit to Operate.

(ii) Existing Facilities: Air Contaminant Emissions Fees paid by existing facilities as part of their Annual Operating Fee or an Initial Application Fee shall not be refundable, unless all Permit(s) to Operate at the facility are retired.

(5) Other Fees

Asbestos Notifications: Refunds of asbestos notification fees shall be issued only if a cancellation notice is received by the District prior to the notification start date. A refund will not be issued if the notice of cancellation is received by the District on or after the notification start date.

(6) Cancellation Fees – Source Testing and Test Witnessing

Substitution of another facility for a scheduled test shall be considered a cancellation subject to the provisions listed below.

(i) Fee Schedule 92(a): If a source test cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled source test date a cancellation fee of \$500 shall be charged.

(ii) Fee Schedules 92(b-z) and 93: If a source test or test witnessing cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled source test date a cancellation fee of \$250 shall be charged.

(iii) Vapor Recovery (Phase I, II): If a VOC vapor recovery system test witness cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled test date a cancellation fee of \$250 shall be charged.

(7) Insufficient Payment of Fees

(i) If the fees deposited by an applicant to cover the cost of evaluating an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate or other District evaluation is insufficient to complete the work in progress, the applicant shall deposit an amount deemed sufficient by the District to complete the work, except if the amount is \$25 or less.

(ii) The Air Pollution Control Officer may cancel an application when an applicant fails or refuses to deposit such amount within 45 days of demand or fails or refuses to deposit such amount by the date required by Rule 18 – Action on Applications for action to be taken on the application, whichever date is sooner.

(iii) If the applicant fails or refuses to deposit such amount upon demand, the District may recover the same through a collection agency or by action in any court of competent jurisdiction, including small claims court. Until such amount is paid in full, the District shall not further process the application unless the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed.

(iv) Returned Checks: Any person who issues a check to the District, which is returned by the bank upon which it is drawn without payment, shall pay a returned check fee of \$25.

(v) The Air Pollution Control Officer may refuse to process an application and/or refuse to renew a Permit to Operate if the applicant has any unpaid invoices more than 60 days overdue or has any late fees or outstanding court judgments which are owed to the District. The Air Pollution Control Officer may refuse to process an application if a prior applicant for the equipment or project which is the subject of the application has unpaid invoices or late fees related to that equipment or project.

In the event that processing of an application is stopped pursuant to this provision, the timelines for taking action on an application specified in Rule 18 – Action on Applications shall no longer apply to that application.

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF FEE SCHEDULES BY EMISSION UNIT TYPE

Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths	Schedule 2
Abrasive Blasting Equipment - Excluding Rooms and Booths	Schedule 1
Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Adhesive Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Adhesive Materials Application Operations.....	Schedule 27
Air Stripping Equipment.....	Schedule 52
Anodizing Tanks.....	Schedule 55
Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))	Schedule 27
Asbestos Control Equipment	Schedule 59
Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers	Schedule 40
Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt.....	Schedule 3
Automotive Refinishing Operations	Schedule 27
Bakeries	Schedule 58
Boilers and Heaters.....	Schedule 13
Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds).....	Schedule 25
Bulk Terminal Grain Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23
Burn Out Ovens	Schedule 15
Cement Silo System (Separate from Plants).....	Schedule 8
Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Ceramic Slip Casting	Schedule 43
Coffee Roasters.....	Schedule 50
Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Concrete Batch Plants.....	Schedule 8
Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants	Schedule 9
Copper Etching	Schedule 32
Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Dry Chemical Mixing.....	Schedule 24
Dry Chemical Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23
Dry Cleaning Facilities	Schedule 31
Electronic Component Manufacturing.....	Schedule 42
Electric Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Engines - Internal Combustion	Schedule 34
Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44
Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants.....	Schedule 22
Filtration Membrane Manufacturing	Schedule 46
Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Gasoline Stations	Schedule 26
Grinding Booths and Rooms	Schedule 36
Hexavalent Chromium Plating	Schedule 55
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants.....	Schedule 4
Industrial Coating Applications.....	Schedule 27

Alphabetical List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type – continued

Industrial Waste Water Treatment.....	Schedule 51
Ink Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Intermediate Refueler Facilities (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Internal Combustion Engines (Piston Type).....	Schedule 34
Internal Combustion Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 34
Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	Schedule 30
Marine Coatings	Schedule 27
Metal Inspection Tanks.....	Schedule 28
Metal Melting Devices	Schedule 18
Municipal Waste Storage and Processing.....	Schedule 48
Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities	Schedule 26
Non-Municipal Incinerators.....	Schedule 14
Non-Operational Status Equipment.....	Schedule 49
Oil Quenching	Schedule 19
Organic Gas Sterilizers	Schedule 47
Paint and Stain Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Paper Shredders or Grinders.....	Schedule 21
Perlite Processing.....	Schedule 41
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing.....	Schedule 54
Plasma Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Precious Metals Refining.....	Schedule 39
Rock Drills.....	Schedule 5
Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19
Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules.....	Schedule 6
Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants.....	Schedule 7
Sewage Treatment Facilities.....	Schedule 56
Soil Remediation Equipment.....	Schedule 52
Solder Paste Manufacturing.....	Schedule 38
Soldering Equipment (Automated).....	Schedule 29
Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44
Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Vapor Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Wood Shredders or Grinders	Schedule 21

CATEGORIZED LIST OF FEE SCHEDULES BY EMISSION UNIT TYPE

ABRASIVE BLASTING EQUIPMENT

Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths	Schedule 2
Abrasive Blasting Equipment - Excluding Rooms and Booths	Schedule 1

ASPHALT RELATED OPERATIONS, EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES

Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers	Schedule 40
Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt.....	Schedule 3
Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants.....	Schedule 4

COATING, ADHESIVE AND INK APPLICATION EQUIPMENT & OPERATIONS

Adhesive Materials Application Operations.....	Schedule 27
Automotive Refinishing Operations	Schedule 27
Graphic Arts Operations	Schedule 27
Industrial Coating Applications.....	Schedule 27
Miscellaneous Parts Coatings.....	Schedule 27
Wood, Metal, Marine, Aerospace Coatings.....	Schedule 27

CONCRETE EQUIPMENT

Cement Silo System (Separate from Plants).....	Schedule 8
Concrete Batch Plants.....	Schedule 8
Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants.....	Schedule 9

COMBUSTION AND HEAT TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

Boilers and Heaters.....	Schedule 13
Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Internal Combustion Engines (Piston Type).....	Schedule 34
Internal Combustion Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 34
Non-Municipal Incinerators.....	Schedule 14

DRY CHEMICAL OPERATIONS

Dry Chemical Mixing.....	Schedule 24
Dry Chemical Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23

ELECTRONIC MANUFACTURING

Electronic Component Manufacturing.....	Schedule 42
Soldering Equipment (Automated).....	Schedule 29

FOOD PROCESSING AND PREPARATION EQUIPMENT

Bakeries	Schedule 58
Bulk Flour and Powdered Sugar Storage Systems	Schedule 35
Coffee Roasters.....	Schedule 50

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type – continued

FUEL STORAGE, TRANSFER AND DISPENSING EQUIPMENT

Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Gasoline Stations	Schedule 26
Intermediate Refueler Facilities (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities	Schedule 26

MACHINING EQUIPMENT

Grinding Booths and Rooms	Schedule 36
Paper or Wood Shredders or Grinders.....	Schedule 21
Plasma, Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37

METAL TREATMENT OPERATIONS

Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Copper Etching.....	Schedule 32
Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks	Schedule 55
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Oil Quenching and Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19

METALLURGICAL PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Copper Etching.....	Schedule 32
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Metal Inspection Tanks.....	Schedule 28
Metal Melting Devices	Schedule 18
Oil Quenching and Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19
Plasma and Electric Deposition Spray Booths	Schedule 37
Precious Metals Refining.....	Schedule 39

MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING AND PROCESSING

Ceramic Slip Casting	Schedule 43
Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44
Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants.....	Schedule 22
Filtration Membrane Manufacturing	Schedule 46
Ink Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	Schedule 30
Municipal Waste Storage and Processing.....	Schedule 48
Non-Operational Status Equipment	Schedule 49
Organic Gas Sterilizers	Schedule 47
Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Perlite Processing.....	Schedule 41
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing.....	Schedule 54
Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44

MIXING, BLENDING AND PACKAGING EQUIPMENT

Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity.....	Schedule 8
Dry Chemical Mixing	Schedule 24

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type – continued

OVENS

Burn Out Ovens Schedule 15

SAND, ROCK AND AGGREGATE RELATED OPERATIONS

Rock Drills..... Schedule 5

Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations..... Schedule 6

Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants..... Schedule 7

SOLVENT CLEANING OPERATIONS

Cold Solvent and Remote Reservoir Cleaning Operations..... Schedule 28

Dry Cleaning Facilities Schedule 31

Vapor Solvent Cleaning Operations Schedule 28

SPRAY BOOTH OPERATIONS

Coating, Adhesives and Painting Operations Schedule 27

Plasma, Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths..... Schedule 37

STORAGE AND TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

Bulk Flour and Powdered Sugar Storage Systems Schedule 35

Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds) Schedule 25

Bulk Terminal Grain Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment..... Schedule 23

Dry Chemical Storage Systems Schedule 35

Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment..... Schedule 23

TREATMENT AND REMEDIATION OPERATIONS

Air Stripping Equipment..... Schedule 52

Asbestos Control Equipment Schedule 59

Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials..... Schedule 44

Industrial Waste Water Treatment..... Schedule 51

Sewage Treatment Facilities..... Schedule 56

Soil Remediation Equipment..... Schedule 52

FEE SCHEDULES

The Fee Schedules shall be used in determining the Initial Evaluation Fees and Emission Unit Renewal Fees using the amounts listed in Columns (1) and (2), respectively for each emission unit. The fees specified below do not include all applicable fees. See Sections (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i) for other required fees.

SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths

Any permit unit consisting of air hoses, with or without water lines, with a single pot rated at 100 pounds capacity or more of sand regardless of abrasive used, and a nozzle or nozzles. (Equipment not operated solely in Schedule 2 facilities).

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	T+M	\$267 <u>\$290</u>
(b) Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$2065 <u>T+M</u>	\$227 <u>\$246</u>
(c) Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	T+M	\$213 <u>\$231</u>
(d) Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	T+M	\$213 <u>\$231</u>
(x) Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$636 <u>\$731</u>	\$320 <u>\$348</u>

SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$5516 <u>T+M</u>	\$484 <u>\$525</u>
(b) Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$6374 <u>T+M</u>	\$523 <u>\$567</u>

SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	T+M	\$302 <u>\$328</u>
(w) Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$427 <u>491</u>	\$266 <u>\$289</u>

SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	T+M	\$1733 <u>\$1881</u>

SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(w) Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$719 <u>\$827</u>	\$353 <u>\$383</u>

SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Screen Set	\$5168 <u>T+M</u>	\$539 <u>\$585</u>
(x) Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$739 <u>\$850</u>	\$350 <u>\$380</u>

SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	T+M	\$928 <u>\$1008</u>
(b) Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	T+M	\$440 <u>\$478</u>
(c) Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	T+M	\$433 <u>\$470</u>
(x) Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$739 <u>\$850</u>	\$323 <u>\$351</u>

SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	T+M	\$921 <u>\$1000</u>
(b) Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	T+M	\$327 <u>\$355</u>
(c) Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	T+M	\$522 <u>\$566</u>
(x) Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant or stand-alone Cementitious Material Storage Silo, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$817 <u>\$940</u>	\$382 <u>\$415</u>

SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Plant	T+M	\$648 <u>\$704</u>

SCHEDULE 10: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 11: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 12: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$3569 <u>\$3783</u>	\$467 <u>\$537</u>
(b) Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+M	\$600 <u>\$651</u>
(d) Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+M	\$1259 <u>\$1367</u>
(f) Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	T+M	\$368 <u>\$399</u>
\$(w) Each unit greater than 2 MM BTU/HR to less than 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12	\$840 <u>\$934</u>	\$250 <u>\$271</u>

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Crematory or waste incinerator burning*	T+M	\$952 <u>\$1033</u>
(c) Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	T+M	\$441 <u>\$479</u>

*Excluding units of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.

SCHEDULE 15: Burn-Out Ovens

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+M	\$439 <u>\$477</u>
(d) USN SIMA (ID #APCD1981 SITE 02798)*	T+M	\$262

~~*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)~~

SCHEDULE 16: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 17: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(c) Each Pit or Stationary Crucible/Pot Furnace	T+M	\$451 <u>\$489</u>

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Tank	T+M	\$257 <u>\$279</u>

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND TURBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS		
(a) Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+M	\$433 <u>\$470</u>
(b) Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+M	\$235 <u>\$255</u>
(c) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+M	\$175 <u>\$189</u>
GAS TURBINE ENGINES		
(d) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+M	\$1176 <u>\$1277</u>
(e) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+M	\$1477 <u>\$1603</u>
(f) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+M	\$4280 <u>\$4647</u>
(g) Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+M	\$409 <u>\$443</u>
(h) Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+M	\$286 <u>\$311</u>

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+M	\$367 <u>\$398</u>
(w) Each Paper Shredder with a maximum throughput capacity of greater than 600 pounds per hour, Registered Under Rule 12	\$809 <u>\$900</u>	\$396 <u>\$430</u>

SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+M	\$531 <u>\$576</u>
(b) Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+M	\$494 <u>\$536</u>
(c) Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	T+M	\$526 <u>\$571</u>
(d) Each Mixer System	T+M	\$1130 <u>\$1226</u>
(e) Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+M	\$555 <u>\$603</u>

SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	T+M	\$631 <u>\$685</u>
(b) Each Storage Silo System	\$2239 T+M	\$358 <u>\$389</u>
(c) Each Loadout Station System	T+M	\$384 <u>\$417</u>
(d) Each Belt Transfer Station	T+M	\$384 <u>\$417</u>
(w) Each Grain Silo at beer breweries producing less than 100,000 barrels (3.1 million gallons) per year, Registered Under Rule 12	\$809 <u>\$900</u>	\$372 <u>\$404</u>

SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(c) Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard	T+M	\$278 <u>\$302</u>

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
1. Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:		
(a) Per Tank	T+M	\$303 <u>\$328</u>
(b) Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+M	N/A
(c) Per Truck Loading Head	T+M	\$1876 <u>\$2037</u>
(d) Per Vapor Processor	T+M	\$439 <u>\$477</u>
2. Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:		
(e) Per Tank	T+M	\$496 <u>\$538</u>
(f) Per Truck Loading Head	T+M	\$447 <u>\$485</u>
“Vapor Processor” means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compounds by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, absorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.		
3. Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR’s) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:		
(h) Per IR Loading Connector	T+M	\$524 <u>\$568</u>

If a facility falls into Parts 1, 2, or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the “per nozzle” fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.

SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities
 Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Facilities where Phase I and Phase II controls are required (includes Phase I fee) Renewal Fee: Fee x number of nozzles	\$3601 <u>\$4141</u>	\$332 <u>\$382</u>
(c) Facilities where only Phase I controls are required (includes tank replacement) Fee Per Facility	\$3347 <u>\$3849</u>	\$652 <u>\$708</u>
(e) Non-retail facilities with 250-550 gallon tanks and no other non-bulk gasoline dispensing permits Fee Per Facility	\$1042 <u>\$1198</u>	\$570 <u>\$619</u>

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Marine Coating application operation, except where Fee Schedule 27(t) applies	T+M	\$904 <u>\$981</u>
(t) Each Marine Coating application operation at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and < 100 gallons/year	T+M	\$604 <u>\$656</u>

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING

(Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.)

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(d) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$1011 <u>\$1097</u>
(e) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$1252 <u>\$1359</u>
(f) Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Except If Using Only Polyester Resin	T+M	\$1118 <u>\$1213</u>
(i) Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	T+M	\$1823 <u>\$1979</u>
(j) Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$7404 <u>T+M</u>	\$1042 <u>\$1131</u>
(k) Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$1074 <u>\$1165</u>

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))
 – continued

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING

(Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.) – continued

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(l) Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings	T+M	\$990 <u>\$1074</u>
(n) Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	T+M	\$580 <u>\$629</u>
(o) Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	T+M	\$758 <u>\$823</u>
(p) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon/day or < 50 gallons/year	T+M	\$663 <u>\$719</u>
(q) Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons/year for Wood Products Coating Operations	T+M	\$841 <u>\$913</u>

PART 3 – MOTOR VEHICLE AND MOBILE EQUIPMENT REFINISHING OPERATIONS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(r) Each facility applying Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$4278 <u>\$4920</u>	\$1223 <u>\$1327</u>

PART 4 - ADHESIVE MATERIALS APPLICATION OPERATIONS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(u) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$717 <u>\$779</u>
(v) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$1341 <u>\$1456</u>
(w) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	T+M	\$789 <u>\$856</u>

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet	T+M	\$494 <u>\$536</u>
(b) Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	T+M	\$372 <u>\$403</u>
(d) Each Paint Stripping Tank	T+M	\$367 <u>\$399</u>
(f) Remote Reservoir Cleaners	T+M	\$351 <u>\$381</u>
(h) Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area ≤ 5 square feet	T+M	\$441 <u>\$478</u>
(i) Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	T+M	\$326 <u>\$354</u>
(j) Metal Inspection Tanks	T+M	\$303 <u>\$328</u>
(k) Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	T+M	\$44 <u>\$48</u>
(l) Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of ≤ 5 square feet	T+M	\$18 <u>\$21</u>
(m) Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	T+M	\$907 <u>\$985</u>

SCHEDULE 29: Automated Soldering Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Solder Leveler	T+M	\$515 <u>\$558</u>

SCHEDULE 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	T+M	\$1712 <u>\$1859</u>

SCHEDULE 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	T+M	\$893 <u>\$970</u>
(b) Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+M	\$542 <u>\$588</u>

SCHEDULE 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Copper Etching Tank	T+M	\$714 <u>\$775</u>
(b) Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+M	\$612 <u>\$664</u>
(c) Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+M	\$723 <u>\$785</u>

SCHEDULE 33: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines and Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Processes

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine with Add-on Control Equipment	T+M	\$1137 <u>\$1234</u>
(b) Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine without Add-on Control Equipment	T+M	\$682 <u>\$740</u>
(c) Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$4549	\$459
(d) Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation ≥ 200 horsepower	T+M	\$734 <u>\$797</u>
(e) Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	T+M	\$675 <u>\$732</u>
(f) Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+M	\$213 <u>\$231</u>
(g) Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation < 200 horsepower	\$3726	\$449
(h) Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$3309 <u>\$3805</u>	\$393 <u>\$427</u>
(i) Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand	T+M	\$433 <u>\$470</u>
(l) Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	T+M	\$590 <u>\$640</u>
(w) Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	\$485 <u>\$558</u>	\$373 <u>\$404</u>
(x) Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$797 <u>\$917</u>	\$355 <u>\$385</u>

SCHEDULE 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each System	T+M	\$357 <u>\$387</u>

SCHEDULE 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Booth or Room	T+M	\$466 <u>\$505</u>

SCHEDULE 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Application Station	T+M	\$594 <u>\$645</u>
(c) Flame Spray (ID #APCD1976 SITE 00274)*	T+M	\$433

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	T+M	\$348 <u>\$377</u>
(b) Each Can Filling Line	T+M	\$371 <u>\$402</u>
(c) Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	T+M	\$765 <u>\$830</u>
(d) Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year	T+M	\$1509 <u>\$1638</u>
(f) Ferro Electronic Material Systems (ID #APCD2001-SITE 04439)*	T+M	\$906

*Pursuant to Subsection (e)(3)

SCHEDULE 39: Precious Metals Refining

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$836 <u>\$908</u>

SCHEDULE 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(x) Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	T+M	\$1091 <u>\$412</u>

SCHEDULE 41: Perlite Processing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$506 <u>\$550</u>
(b) Aztec Perlite (ID #APCD1978-SITE-01598)*	T+M	\$1166 <u>\$1266</u>

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$779 <u>\$846</u>
(b) Each Screen Printing Operation	T+M	\$641 <u>\$696</u>
(c) Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	T+M	\$773 <u>\$839</u>
(d) Each Conformal Coating Operation	T+M	\$988 <u>\$1073</u>

SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$788 <u>\$856</u>

SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	T+M	\$451 <u>\$489</u>
(b) Solvent Recovery Stills, on-site, batch-type, solvent usage > 350 gallons per day	T+M	\$460 <u>\$500</u>

SCHEDULE 45: RESERVED**SCHEDULE 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$735 <u>\$797</u>

SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Organic Gas Sterilizer/Aerator requiring control	T+M	\$774 <u>\$840</u>

SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M	\$1533 <u>\$1664</u>
(c) Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M	\$5421 <u>\$5883</u>

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$319 <u>\$367</u>	\$375 <u>\$407</u>
(b) Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$286 <u>\$329</u>	N/A

SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Coffee Roaster	T+M	\$502 <u>\$544</u>

SCHEDULE 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each On-site Processing Line	T+M	\$573 <u>\$622</u>
(c) USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID #APCD1986-SITE-02755)*	T+M	\$1558

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping and Soil Remediation Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Air Stripping Equipment	T+M	\$763 <u>\$828</u>
(b) Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)	T+M	\$890 <u>\$966</u>

SCHEDULE 53: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	T+M	\$1032 <u>\$1121</u>

SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks, and Chromate Conversion Coating Tanks

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Hard or Decorative Chrome Plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System	T+M	\$2731 <u>\$2965</u>
(b) Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	T+M	\$1471 <u>\$1597</u>
(d) Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	T+M	\$445 <u>\$483</u>

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Wastewater Treatment Facility, or Each Water Reclamation Facility	T+M	\$1460 <u>\$1585</u>
(b) Each Wastewater Pump Station	T+M	\$776 <u>\$842</u>

SCHEDULE 57: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 58: Bakeries

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	T+M	\$865 <u>\$939</u>

SCHEDULE 59: Asbestos Control Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(c) Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	T+M	\$423 <u>\$459</u>

SCHEDULES 60 THROUGH 90 RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Miscellaneous Operations	T+M	\$616 <u>\$669</u>

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Performed by the District

The owner or operator of an emission unit which requires source testing to determine compliance shall pay the applicable source test fee(s) listed below if the source testing is performed by the District or a District contractor. If the source test requires significantly more on-site time than is provided by the fixed fees specified below (e.g., tall stacks), the additional costs incurred by the District shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates and related material and other costs. The owner or operator shall pay such fees upon notification from the District that such fees are required.

Fee Unit	Fee
(c) Each Sulfur Oxides Source Test	T+M
(d) Annual Fee for each Biennial Cycle Test for NOx and CO (1/2 the cost of one test)	\$1774 <u>\$2040</u>
(e) Each Ethylene Oxide Source Test	T+M
(f) Each Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$3547 <u>\$4079</u>
(g) Each Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$4090 <u>\$4704</u>
(h) Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of > 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M
(i) Each Ammonia Source Test	\$1694 <u>\$1948</u>
(j) Continuous Emission Monitor System Evaluation	T+M
(k) Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of < 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M
(m) Each Mass Emissions Source Test	\$1672 <u>\$1923</u>
(o) Each Multiple Metals Source Test	T+M

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Performed by the District – continued

<u>Fee Unit</u>	<u>Fee</u>
(p) Each Chromium Source Test	T+M
(q) Each VOC Onsite Analysis	\$7801 <u>\$8971</u>
(r) Each VOC Offsite Analysis	\$1828 <u>\$2102</u>
(s) Each Hydrogen Sulfide Source Test	T+M
(t) Each Acid Gas Source Test	T+M
(v) Annual Fee for Optional Source Test Pilot Study	T+M
(w) Each Particulate Matter Source Test	\$5014 <u>\$5766</u>
(x) Each Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test	\$11185 <u>\$12863</u>
(y) Each Particulate Matter and Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen Source Test	\$8000 <u>\$9200</u>
(z) Miscellaneous Source Test (Special Tests not Listed)	T+M

SCHEDULE 93: Witness of Source Tests Performed by Independent Contractors

The owner or operator of an emission unit which requires source testing to determine compliance for the purpose of quantifying emissions to determine whether a Permit to Operate shall be issued or if the emission unit is in compliance, and chooses to have the testing performed by an independent contractor, shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to observe such testing and review the resulting source test report.

Any person, company, agency that requests review of a test procedure shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to review such test procedures. Such requests shall be accompanied by an amount estimated to cover actual District costs.

<u>Fee Unit</u>	<u>Fee</u>
(a) Test Witness and Report Review	T+M
(c) Test Procedure Review	T+M
(d) Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness	\$3638 <u>\$3695</u>
(e) Each Ethylene Oxide Test Witness Day	\$3004 <u>\$3455</u>

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates

<u>Service Category</u>	<u>Hourly Rate</u>
Compliance Services	\$249 <u>\$270</u>
Engineering Services	\$274 <u>\$315</u>
Monitoring Services	\$173
Planning and Mobile Incentives Services	\$193 <u>\$218</u>
Source Testing Services	\$189 <u>\$217</u>

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis

When the District determines a sample and/or analysis is needed for the purpose of determining potential emissions and/or determining compliance with District Rules and Regulations, the actual T+M costs incurred by the District for collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the reports, shall be paid by the permittee, applicant or other persons for activities for which a Permit is not required.

**SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs Incurred by the District for Sources
Not in Compliance**

Whenever the District is requested or required to provide consultation, testing or inspection to any person or facility, beyond the consultation testing and inspection covered by the permit fees, or related to a Notice of Violation and/or Notice to Comply, the person or facility shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District for the cost of such services.

SCHEDULE 97: Other Charges

Whenever the District is requested or required to provide consultation, legally required testimony, testing, inspection, engineering or services, the cost of such services shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates. Persons requesting and/or receiving such services shall be charged the estimated cost of providing such services and shall deposit such amount to the District in advance of the service, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the District. In the case of consultations requested prior to filing an application, any funds deposited in excess of actual costs incurred for such consultations shall be refunded or applied as a credit against required application fees.

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths							
1 A	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$267	\$290	\$ 23
1 B	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$2,065	T+M	\$ -	\$227	\$246	\$ 19
1 C	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	T+M		\$ -	\$213	\$231	\$ 18
1 D	Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	T+M		\$ -	\$213	\$231	\$ 18
1 X	Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$636	\$731	\$ 95	\$320	\$348	\$ 28
Schedule 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths							
2 A	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$5,516	T+M	\$ -	\$484	\$525	\$ 41
2 B	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$6,374	T+M	\$ -	\$523	\$567	\$ 44
Schedule 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt							
3 A	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	T+M		\$ -	\$302	\$328	\$ 26
3 W	Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$427	\$491	\$ 64	\$266	\$289	\$ 23
Schedule 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant							
4 A	Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	T+M		\$ -	\$1,733	\$1,881	\$ 148
Schedule 5: Rock Drills							
5 W	Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12 or 12.1	\$719	\$827	\$ 108	\$353	\$383	\$ 30
Schedule 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules							
6 A	Each Screen Set	\$5,168	T+M	\$ -	\$539	\$585	\$ 46
6 X	Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$739	\$850	\$ 111	\$350	\$380	\$ 30
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants							
7 A	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	T+M		\$ -	\$928	\$1,008	\$ 80
7 B	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	T+M		\$ -	\$440	\$478	\$ 38
7 C	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	T+M		\$ -	\$433	\$470	\$ 37

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
7 X	Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$739	\$850	\$ 111	\$323	\$351	\$ 28
Schedule 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems							
8 A	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	T+M		\$ -	\$921	\$1,000	\$ 79
8 B	Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	T+M		\$ -	\$327	\$355	\$ 28
8 C	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	T+M		\$ -	\$522	\$566	\$ 44
8 X	Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant or stand-alone Cementitious Material Storage Silo, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$817	\$940	\$ 123	\$382	\$415	\$ 33
Schedule 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants							
9 A	Each Plant	T+M		\$ -	\$648	\$704	\$ 56
Schedule 10: RESERVED							
Schedule 11: RESERVED							
Schedule 12: RESERVED							
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters							
13 A	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$3,569	\$3,783	\$ 214	\$467	\$537	\$ 70
13 B	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+M		\$ -	\$600	\$651	\$ 51
13 D	Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+M		\$ -	\$1,259	\$1,367	\$ 108
13 F	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	T+M		\$ -	\$368	\$399	\$ 31
13 W	Each 2 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12	\$840	\$934	\$ 94	\$250	\$271	\$ 21
Schedule 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators							
14 A	Crematory or waste incinerator burning *	T+M		\$ -	\$952	\$1,033	\$ 81
14 C	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	T+M		\$ -	\$441	\$479	\$ 38
*Excluding units of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.							
Schedule 15: Burn-Out Ovens							
15 A	Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+M		\$ -	\$439	\$477	\$ 38
15 D	USN SIMA (ID # APCD1981-SITE-02798) *	T+M	Proposed for deletion	\$ -	\$262	Proposed for deletion	\$ -
* Subsection (c)(3) no longer applicable							

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 16: RESERVED							
Schedule 17: RESERVED							
Schedule 18: Metal Melting Devices							
18 C	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible	T+M		\$ -	\$451	\$489	\$ 38
Schedule 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths							
19 A	Each Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$257	\$279	\$ 22
Schedule 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands							
GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND TURBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS							
20 A	Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+M		\$ -	\$433	\$470	\$ 37
20 B	Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+M		\$ -	\$235	\$255	\$ 20
20 C	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+M		\$ -	\$175	\$189	\$ 14
GAS TURBINE ENGINES							
20 D	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+M		\$ -	\$1,176	\$1,277	\$ 101
20 E	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+M		\$ -	\$1,477	\$1,603	\$ 126
20 F	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+M		\$ -	\$4,208	\$4,647	\$ 439
20 G	Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+M		\$ -	\$409	\$443	\$ 34
20 H	Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+M		\$ -	\$286	\$311	\$ 25
Schedule 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units							
21 A	Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+M		\$ -	\$367	\$398	\$ 31
21 W	Each Paper Shredder	\$809	\$900	\$ 91	\$396	\$430	\$ 34
Schedule 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants							
22 A	Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+M		\$ -	\$531	\$576	\$ 45
22 B	Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+M		\$ -	\$494	\$536	\$ 42
22 C	Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	T+M		\$ -	\$526	\$571	\$ 45
22 D	Each Mixer System	T+M		\$ -	\$1,130	\$1,226	\$ 96
22 E	Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+M		\$ -	\$555	\$603	\$ 48
Schedule 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment							
23 A	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	T+M		\$ -	\$631	\$685	\$ 54
23 B	Each Storage Silo System	\$2,239	T+M	\$ -	\$358	\$389	\$ 31
23 C	Each Loadout Station System	T+M		\$ -	\$384	\$417	\$ 33

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
23 D	Each Belt Transfer Station	T+M		\$ -	\$384	\$471	\$ 87
23 W	Each Grain Silo	\$809	\$900	\$ 91	\$372	\$404	\$ 32
Schedule 24: Dry Chemical Mixing							
24 C	Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard	T+M		\$ -	\$278	\$302	\$ 24
Schedule 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities.							
1 Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:							
25 A	Per Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$303	\$328	\$ 25
25 B	Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+M		\$ -	N/A	N/A	\$ -
25 C	Per Truck Loading Head	T+M		\$ -	\$1,876	\$2,037	\$ 161
25 D	Per Vapor Processor	T+M		\$ -	\$439	\$477	\$ 38
2 Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:							
25 E	Per Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$496	\$538	\$ 42
25 F	Per Truck Loading Head	T+M		\$ -	\$447	\$485	\$ 38
Vapor Processor means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compound by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, absorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.							
3 Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:							
25 H	Per IR Loading Connector	T+M		\$ -	\$524	\$568	\$ 44
If a facility falls into Part 1, 2 or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the "per nozzle" fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.							
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6							
26 A	Facilities where Phase I and Phase II controls are required (includes Phase I fee)	\$3,601	\$4,141	\$ 540	\$332	\$382	\$ 50
26 C	Facilities where only Phase I controls are required (includes tank replacement)	\$3,347	\$3,849	\$ 502	\$652	\$708	\$ 56
26 E	Non-retail facilities with 250-550 gallon tanks and no other non-bulk gasoline dispensing permits Fee Per Facility	\$1,042	\$1,198	\$ 156	\$570	\$619	\$ 49
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))							
PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS							
27 A	Each Marine Coating application operation, except where Fee Schedule 27(t) applies	T+M		\$ -	\$904	\$981	\$ 77

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
27 T	Each Marine Coating application operation at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and < 100 gallons/year	T+M		\$ -	\$604	\$656	\$ 52
	PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING (Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.)						
27 D	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$1,011	\$1,097	\$ 86
27 E	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$1,252	\$1,359	\$ 107
27 F	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$1,118	\$1,213	\$ 95
27 I	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$1,823	\$1,979	\$ 156
27 J	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$7,404	T+M	\$ -	\$1,042	\$1,131	\$ 89
27 K	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$1,074	\$1,165	\$ 91
27 L	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from Wood Products Coating Operations	T+M		\$ -	\$990	\$1,074	\$ 84
27 N	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	T+M		\$ -	\$580	\$629	\$ 49
27 O	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	T+M		\$ -	\$758	\$823	\$ 65
27 P	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon/day or < 50 gallons/year	T+M		\$ -	\$663	\$719	\$ 56
27 Q	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons/year for Wood Products Coating Operations	T+M		\$ -	\$841	\$913	\$ 72
	PART 3 - MOTOR VEHICLE AND MOBILE EQUIPMENT REFINISHING OPERATIONS						
27 R	Each facility applying Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$4,278	\$4,920	\$ 642	\$1,223	\$1,327	\$ 104

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
	PART 4 - ADHESIVE MATERIALS APPLICATIONS OPERATIONS						
27 U	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$717	\$779	\$ 62
27 V	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$1,341	\$1,456	\$ 115
27 W	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	T+M		\$ -	\$789	\$856	\$ 67
	Schedule 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks						
28 A	Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$494	\$536	\$ 42
28 B	Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$372	\$403	\$ 31
28 D	Each Paint Stripping Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$367	\$399	\$ 32
28 F	Remote Reservoir Cleaners	T+M		\$ -	\$351	\$381	\$ 30
28 H	Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area ≤ 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$441	\$478	\$ 37
28 I	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$326	\$354	\$ 28
28 J	Metal Inspection Tanks	T+M		\$ -	\$303	\$328	\$ 25
28 K	Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	T+M		\$ -	\$44	\$48	\$ 4
28 L	Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of ≤ 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$18	\$21	\$ 3
28 M	Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$907	\$985	\$ 78
	Schedule 29: Automated Soldering Equipment						
29 A	Each Solder Leveler	T+M		\$ -	\$515	\$558	\$ 43
	Schedule 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers						
30 A	Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	T+M		\$ -	\$1,712	\$1,859	\$ 147
	Schedule 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities						
31 A	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$893	\$970	\$ 77
31 B	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+M		\$ -	\$542	\$588	\$ 46
	Schedule 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing						
32 A	Each Copper Etching Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$714	\$775	\$ 61
32 B	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$612	\$664	\$ 52
32 C	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$723	\$785	\$ 62
	Schedule 33: RESERVED						

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines							
34 A	Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine with Add-on Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$1,137	\$1,234	\$ 97
34 B	Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine without Add-on Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$682	\$740	\$ 58
34 C	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)**	\$4,549	Proposed for deletion	\$ -	\$459	Proposed for deletion	\$ -
** Combining with 34H							
34 D	Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$734	\$797	\$ 63
34 E	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	T+M		\$ -	\$675	\$732	\$ 57
34 F	Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+M		\$ -	\$213	\$231	\$ 18
34 G	Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation < 200 horsepower***	\$3,726	Proposed for deletion	\$ -	\$449	Proposed for deletion	\$ -
*** Combining with 34D							
34 H	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$3,309	\$3,805	\$ 496	\$393	\$427	\$ 34
34 I	Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand	T+M		\$ -	\$433	\$470	\$ 37
34 L	Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	T+M		\$ -	\$590	\$640	\$ 50
34 W	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	\$485	\$558	\$ 73	\$373	\$404	\$ 31
34 X	Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$797	\$917	\$ 120	\$355	\$385	\$ 30
Schedule 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems							
35 A	Each System	T+M		\$ -	\$357	\$387	\$ 30
Schedule 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms							
36 A	Each Booth or Room	T+M		\$ -	\$466	\$505	\$ 39
Schedule 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths							
37 A	Each Application Station	T+M		\$ -	\$594	\$645	\$ 51
37 C	Flame Spray (ID # APCD1976-SITE-00274) *	T+M	Proposed for deletion	\$ -	\$433	Proposed for deletion	\$ -
* Subsection (c)(3) no longer applicable							
Schedule 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing							
38 A	Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	T+M		\$ -	\$348	\$377	\$ 29
38 B	Each Can Filling Line	T+M		\$ -	\$371	\$402	\$ 31
38 C	Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	T+M		\$ -	\$765	\$830	\$ 65

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
38 D	Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year	T+M		\$ -	\$1,509	\$1,638	\$ 129
38 F	Ferro Electronic Material Systems (ID # APCD2001-SITE-04439) *	T+M	Proposed for deletion	\$ -	\$906	Proposed for deletion	\$ -
* Subsection (c)(3) no longer applicable							
Schedule 39: Precious Metals Refining							
39 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$836	\$908	\$ 72
Schedule 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers							
40 X	Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	T+M	\$1,091	\$ -	\$380	\$412	\$ 32
Schedule 41: Perlite Processing							
41 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$506	\$550	\$ 44
41 B	Aztec Perlite (ID # APCD1978-SITE-01598) *	T+M		\$ -	\$1,166	\$1,266	\$ 100
* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)							
Schedule 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing							
42 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$779	\$846	\$ 67
42 B	Each Screen Printing Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$641	\$696	\$ 55
42 C	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$773	\$839	\$ 66
42 D	Each Conformal Coating Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$988	\$1,073	\$ 85
Schedule 43: Ceramic Slip Casting							
43 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$788	\$856	\$ 68
Schedule 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials							
44 A	Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	T+M		\$ -	\$451	\$489	\$ 38
44 B	Solvent Recovery Stills, on-site, batch-type, solvent usage > 350 gallons per day	T+M		\$ -	\$460	\$500	\$ 40
Schedule 45: RESERVED							
Schedule 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing							
46 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$735	\$797	\$ 62
Schedule 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers							
47 A	Each Organic Gas Sterilizer/Aerator requiring control	T+M		\$ -	\$774	\$840	\$ 66
Schedule 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing							
48 A	Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M		\$ -	\$1,533	\$1,664	\$ 131
48 C	Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M		\$ -	\$5,421	\$5,883	\$ 462
Schedule 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment							

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 91**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
49 A	Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$319	\$367	\$ 48	\$375	\$407	\$ 32
49 B	Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$286	\$329	\$ 43	N/A	N/A	\$ -
Schedule 50: Coffee Roasters							
50 A	Each Coffee Roaster	\$4,074	T+M	\$ -	\$502	\$544	\$ 42
Schedule 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment							
51 A	Each On-site Processing Line	T+M		\$ -	\$573	\$622	\$ 49
51 C	USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID # APCD1986-SITE-02755) *	T+M		\$ -	\$1,558	\$1,558	\$ -
* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)							
Schedule 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation Equipment							
52 A	Air Stripping Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$763	\$828	\$ 65
52 B	Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)	T+M		\$ -	\$890	\$966	\$ 76
Schedule 53: RESERVED							
Schedule 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing							
54 A	Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$1,032	\$1,121	\$ 89
Schedule 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks, and Chromate Conversion Coating Tanks							
55 A	Each Hard or Decorative Chrome plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System	T+M		\$ -	\$2,731	\$2,965	\$ 234
55 B	Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	T+M		\$ -	\$1,471	\$1,597	\$ 126
55 D	Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$445	\$483	\$ 38
Schedule 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities							
56 A	Each Wastewater Treatment Facility, or Each Water Reclamation Facility	T+M		\$ -	\$1,460	\$1,585	\$ 125
56 B	Each Wastewater Pump Station	T+M		\$ -	\$776	\$842	\$ 66
Schedule 57: RESERVED							
Schedule 58: Bakeries							
58 A	Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	T+M		\$ -	\$865	\$939	\$ 74
Schedule 59: Asbestos Control Equipment							
59 C	Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	T+M		\$ -	\$423	\$459	\$ 36
Schedule 91: Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates							
91 A	Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates	T+M		\$ -	\$616	\$669	\$ 53