

RULES 127, 128 AND 130

AIR POLLUTION EMERGENCY EPISODE PLAN

WORKSHOP REPORT

A workshop notice was mailed to all school districts, media and facilities potentially impacted by these revisions. Notices were also mailed to all Economic Development Corporations and Chambers of Commerce in San Diego County, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the California Air Resources Board (ARB) and other interested parties. The workshop was held on August 12, 1991. The comments and District responses are as follows:

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Rule 130 states notification will be made "...through other means as appropriate." Does this include notification to the hearing or sight impaired?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

At present the District notification process does not have any special provisions for the hearing or sight impaired.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Do these changes have any impact on our traffic abatement plan?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The proposed revisions do not impose any new or additional requirements on curtailment of vehicular traffic.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Is there any provision for notification of retirement or convalescent homes?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

Presently the District does not notify these types of facilities when air pollution episode levels are reached. However, we will contact the operators of these types of facilities to determine if this practice should be modified.

ARB COMMENT:

Regarding proposed changes to Rule 127 EPISODE CRITERIA LEVELS: an explanation is needed regarding deletion of the Particulate Matter Episode criteria.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

Based on maximum particulate levels measured in San Diego County, according to EPA classification and priority criteria, no particulate matter Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan is required for San Diego County.

ARB COMMENT:

In Rule 130, the criteria for instituting abatement action should be revised.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The District will consider this revision when future revisions to this rule are proposed.

ARB COMMENT:

Various sections of Rule 130 which are currently not being revised should undergo minor revisions to clarify the intent of the District when Stage 1 and Stage 2 Episodes are reached.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

While these changes will not be undertaken at the present time, they will be considered when this rule is revised in the future.

ARB COMMENT:

The wording in Rule 130 Section (b)(1)(i) should be revised from "warning" to "advisory."

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

Rule 130 has been revised to include a section on the Carbon Monoxide Health Advisory.