



San Diego County  
**Air Pollution  
Control District**

**AB 617 Portside Community Steering Committee  
Meeting Agenda + (DRAFT) Notes**

10/22/2024

5:00 pm - 7:00 pm

Virtual Via Zoom

[Click here for meeting materials](#)

### Meeting Objectives

- Presentation about SDGE's Transportation Electrification Advisory Service (TEAS) to help customers in the medium/heavy duty transportation sector to aid customers in their transition from using gasoline/diesel vehicles to battery electric vehicles.
- Update regarding volatile organic compounds monitoring data.
- Discussion regarding a participatory process for AB 617 incentive funds and strategy discussion regarding incentives outreach priorities for the year.

### Meeting Action Items

- [Approval of 9/24/2024 Meeting Notes and 10/22/2024 agenda.](#)

### Agenda

(Meeting Facilitator: Shalem Aboody-Lopez, SDAPCD)

- I. **Welcoming Remarks** 5:00 pm
  - a. Review Meeting Objectives & Agenda.
  - b. Roll call Community Steering Committee (CSC) members
    - i. [Quorum met. Shalem and Lauren will check with absent CSC members \(3 unexcused absences\) to ensure they want to continue participating.](#)
  - c. General Updates
    - i. [Lauren Perez, \(SDAPCD\) – shared 2025 student art calendar contest. Open to all k-12 students that attend schools in SD county. Deadline is November 1<sup>st</sup>. Calendar contest link: <https://www.sdapcd.org/content/sdapcd/community/2025-calendar-contest.html>](#)
    - ii. [Lauren - also shared that SDAPCD attended an outreach at TJRV on 10/19/24. If folks have other community events to share where SDAPCD can participate, please contact Lauren.](#)
- II. **Approval of 9/24/2024 Meeting Notes and tonight's agenda** 5:10 pm
  - a. [MOTION to approve the 9/24/2024 meeting notes and tonight's agenda by Tim Garret, \(SANDAG\).](#)
    - i. [Seconded by Maritza Garcia \(CSC Member, Community\).](#)
    - ii. [Motion passes.](#)
- III. **Presentation: Transportation Electrification Advisory Service (TEAS)** 5:15 pm

(Jonathan Torres, SDGE)

  - a. [Jonathan Torres \(SDGE\) - explained how SDGE has been involved with fleets. SDGE provides a rebate for costs up to 80%.](#)
    - i. [The TEAS is an advisory service available to any fleet customer. Link provided: \[www.sdge.com/business/electric-vehicles/TEAS\]\(http://www.sdge.com/business/electric-vehicles/TEAS\)](#)
    - ii. [The service can provide support for Advanced Clean Fleets and help customer before they go through process of electrifying fleet and afterwards as well.](#)
    - iii. [Presenting this to the committee because the commercial sector can benefit from this advisory service.](#)
    - iv. [The first version of the service will be ready by the first quarter of 2025.](#)
  - b. [Questions and comments](#)



San Diego County  
**Air Pollution  
Control District**

- i **Nicholas Paul** (CSC Member, EHC)– I remember being at an SDGE presentation last year talking about a more personalized technical support program. Is this TEAS replacing the other program?
- ii **Jonathan** (SDGE) - Power Your Drive thru Fleets program is the personalized program. That program is sunseting and the TEAS program is the new replacement.
- c. **Shalem** (SDAPCD) – The specific goals and strategies are connected to the CERP with this SDGE program are Goal 3 and Actions B2, G7 and H1

**IV. Update: Monitoring Data** (*Taken out of order, after Item V*)

5:45 pm

(David Sodeman, SDAPCD) –

- a. **David Sodeman** (SDAPCD) - Provided the presentation that covered: what are VOCs, health effects, data analysis and trends; how some of the CERP Strategies are reducing VOCs in community. 6:10 pm
  - i Also presented the locations for monitoring (4 in Portside community).
  - ii Reviewed the CERP strategies that reduce VOCs. Also shared the future actions of monitoring which are to add additional sites, including National City.
- b. Questions and comments
  - i **Phil Gibbons** (CSC Member, POSD) - Do biogenic fuels (i.e. renewable diesel, etc.) have lower VOCs than fossil-based fuels?
  - ii **David** (SDAPCD) – Will research and provide a response.
  - iii **David** (SDAPCD) - Will research and provide a response.

**V. AB 617 Incentives Process and Prioritization Discussion**

(Kathy Keehan and Kevin Bradley, SDAPCD)

- a. **Shalem** (SDAPCD): Introduced the item and how is this grounded to the CERP and the CAPP.
  - i Related to CERP Strategy Action A2 – advancing the development and implementation of the Public Participation Plan.
  - ii CARB also just updated Blueprint 2.0 and includes examples of how to integrate participatory budgeting.
- b. **Kathy Keehan** (SDAPCD): AB 617 brought participatory budgeting to the forefront.
  - i Presentation information included: the spectrum of community engagement; reviewed principles; funding applications have to comply with the AB 617 program and the program parameters; reviewed the process and what participatory budgeting would look like; what the prioritization does and does not do.
- c. Questions and comments
  - i **Kathy** (SDAPCD) asked: Does this process make sense and is this what the committee feels is a good direction for participatory budgeting?
  - ii Yes, several committee members commented in agreement.
  - iii **Maritza Garcia** (CSC Member, Community): Would like more emphasis on better ways to share incentives information for potential applicants. Suggested the CSC create a list for outreach and local organizations.
  - iv **Silvia Calzada** (CSC Member, Community): appreciated the information and work towards more transparency.
  - v **Kathy** (SDAPCD): introduced Kevin Bradley as new supervisor for the incentives group.
  - vi **Jacqueline** (CSC Alternate Member): asked to clarify what stakeholders are being targeted for community-informed decision-making.
  - vii **Kathy** (SDAPCD): Clarified it is for two groups. One for possible applicants, second is for input from community for feedback on the incentive programs.
  - viii **Silvia** (CSC Member, Community): Asked to confirm if there is a maximum number of participants for the CSC.
  - ix **Shalem** (SDAPCD): will check in bylaws for the cap of the number of CSC members.

**PRIORITIZATION EXERCISE**

- x **Shalem** (SDAPCD): shared links to Mentimeter web-based tool for voting on the priorities. The group voted on two prioritizations.



**San Diego County  
Air Pollution  
Control District**

- The first prioritization exercise asked: What prioritization projects the CSC would like the incentives team at the SDAPCD to do outreach for AB 617 eligible projects? There were eight project types that were voted on.
  - Air filtration – PAIR
  - Trees/Urban greening
  - ZE trucks
  - ZE shuttles
  - EV chargers
  - School HVAC filters
  - Cargo handling equipment
  - Shore power, boats and other harbor craft
- The second exercise asked CSC members to vote on: Outreach prioritization preferences for other projects. There were seven project types that were voted on.
  - Landscaping projects
  - Transit passes
  - Research/study projects
  - Bike/pedestrian infrastructure
  - Commuter programs (vanpools)
  - Neighborhood improvement funds for resident specific projects
  - Truck route signage
- xi **Shalem & Kathy** (SDAPCD): Summarized by stating the SDAPCD will share the top 3 or 4 priorities, and use this prioritization for proactive outreach and to see what projects are possible.
- xii **Phil Gibbons** (CSS Member, POSD): Wanted to bring to attention that CARB defined transportation initiatives. Next year, CARB will not be funding the HVIP program and let that funding run out. If there are AB 617 funds that can go towards trucks, that will be very important.
- xiii **Roman Partida** (CSC Member): asked what the process would be for advancing the non-traditional 617 projects.
- xiv **Kathy** (SDAPCD): The incentives team will review it to ensure that it meets the guidelines. If it does, it can go through the process identified in the program.

**VI. Public Comments - NONE**

**6:45 pm**

**VII. Closing Remarks**

- a. **Shalem**—Next meeting moved one week in advance to November 19th. Checked on preference to meet. Committee responses were in favor of having a virtual meeting.

**VIII. Adjourn**

**7:00 pm**